

1. The basic framework: Articulation of capitalist and noncapitalist forms

- How should we understand the interaction/articulation of the community economy with the capitalist economy? How is this negotiated? (Joao)
- How should we understand the relationship among market, alternative market, and nonmarket forms of exchange? (Taylan)
- What exactly is “community” in “the community economy” and how is it distinct from the world bank/neoliberal usage of the word? (Eunhee)
- Does this inventory of forms ultimately obscure important similarities with capitalist forms? (Lindsey)
- What is the relationship between GGs view of the inventory of alternatives and the approach of other theorists we have studied? Do alternatives “fill gaps” or are they simply part of an ecology of forms? (Trevor)

2. Structures, agency, discourses, “science”:

- What is the relationship between the discussion of (a) discourses and language-categories in terms of which we think about the economy and economic diversity, and (b) structures of inequalities in capitalism, the materiality of capitalism? DO G-G overemphasize agency operating without real constraint? (Ayca)
- The alternative spaces are constantly under attack by the state and capitalism. Doesn't defending those spaces require political agency? (Taylan)
- GG insist that discourses are constitutive of reality. They therefore seem to reject the idea that capitalism imposes extradiscursive constraints. (Michael)
- How should we understand the radical anti-essentialism of the GG approach? (Michael)
- Do we really need a new “form of doing science” (new epistemology, new ontology, poststructuralism, etc.) to study emancipatory alternatives? (Joao)

3. Metatheoretical issue: refusal to propose a coherent system

- How might the deconstructive mapping of economic diversity, with its rich treatment of diverse types of transactions, labor and enterprise, help enrich the structural models that other authors (such as Wright or Evers and Laville) have presented for the social economy? (Nate)
- Defense of capitalocentrism: critique of GGs rejection of the thesis that capitalism is the dominant mode of production that renders other forms marginal (Michael)
- Does the refusal of specifying an ideal alternative, as inaccurate as it may be, not pose a serious, strategic disadvantage in dislodging the current hegemonic capitalist discourse and practice? (Emmanuel)

4. Absence of a discussion of the state (Joao)

5. How as academics can we really contribute to this process of constructing a community economy? (Nina)

6. What (if anything) is the long term vision of G-G? (Matt)

7. The Mondragon case

- what kind of hybrid is this? How is this connected to community economics? (Ayca)
- how should we understand the role of religion in creating “ethical communal subjects” in Mondragon, and how is this related to GGs anti-essentialism in their analysis of this case? (Joo-hee)

8. **Multiple forms of surplus:** this seems like a useful way of thinking about the social economy – what are the distinct forms of the surplus in the social economy? (Taylan)

