

Phone: End of Life Preparation

UEOLPREP -- End of Life Preparations Module
Sibling-Spouse Instrument, Phone

OVERVIEW

With some minor exceptions (listed in the next paragraph), the sibling-spouse version of the End of Life Preparations module is exactly like the sibling version. Thus, please read the COR for the sibling module (cor929EOLPrepSIBLINGPhone.doc) for information about sampling, coding, and problems experienced in preparing this module.

The only differences between the sibling and sibling-spouse versions of this module are that

- 1) sib-spouse variables begin with 'b' instead of 'c';
- 2) sib-spouses don't have variables by140re, by063sp, by064sp, or by066sp (based on raw variables weplaa/wpelba, wpila, wpi2, and wpi4, respectively);
- 3) sib-spouses don't have the 6 pattern variables -- by116rec, by118rec, by120rec, by125rec, by126rec, and by146rec -- which indicate which child in the child roster received a given end-of-life instruction. (This is because, due to remarriages, the spouse's children may be different than the grad's children, and thus sometimes don't appear in the child roster at all);
- 4) sib-spouses were explicitly asked whether they have lived in the Wiscville area in the past 10 years (see next paragraph).

The EOL questions were administered to a randomly selected 70% subsample to reduce the overall length of the survey. However, all sibling-spouses who were Wiscville residents were asked these questions, because, in the mid-1990s, major health care organizations in this area implemented a large-scale advance directive education program. Grad-spouses were considered to be in the Wiscville sample if they lived in the Wiscville area 1) at the time of the 1992-93 survey, 2) when tracked for 2003-2005 survey, and/or 3) at the time of the 2003-2005 interview; or if 4) they reported that they had lived in the Wiscville area at some point in the last 10 years (in response to questions wpa2 or wpa2a in this module).

BRIEF VARIABLE DESCRIPTIONS

by001re: Wiscville resident flag (100% of Wiscville residents will get this module).

by002re: EOLFLAG for sample selection (70% of respondents will get this module, except for Wiscville residents, who are sampled at 100%, as mentioned).

by003re: Has R made *any* preparations for end of life? (I.e., positive response to at least one of ay004re, ay010re, ay020re, and ay030re.)

by004re: Has R made medical plans in case of future serious illness?

by010re-by021re: R's discussion of health care plans and preferences (With anyone? Spouse? Kids? Whom? How well does person understand?).

- by022re-by026re: Legal arrangements for someone to make decisions about R's medical care (Any? Who has, or would have, that authority? Spouse? Kids?).
- by030re-by038re: R's living will or advance directive (Exists? Given to spouse? To kids? To whom?).
- by040re-by046re: Inheritance plans (Who would get R's assets? Does R have will? Etc.).
- by060re-by062re: R's hopes/plans for last days or weeks of life (Where spent? Discontinue treatments?).
- by065re: How strictly to follow R's wishes for end of life medical care.
- by070rec: At what stage in the Terri Schiavo case did R complete the WLS phone survey?

by001re: Is respondent a Wiscville resident?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
 Source variables: lacflag

		Frequencies		
Value	Label	Male	Female	Total
0	R IS NOT WISCVILLE RESIDENT	908	1141	2049
1	R IS WISCVILLE RESIDENT	38	38	76

Note: "Wiscville" is a pseudonym for a hospital referral region in Wisconsin in which, in the mid-1990s, major health care organizations implemented a large-scale advance directive education program. Sib-spouses were considered to be in the Wiscville sample if they directly reported living in the Wiscville area at some point in the last 10 years (wpa2, wpa2a), or if they or their spouses (the sibling respondents) lived in the Wiscville area either at the time of the 1992-93 survey or at the time of the 2003-2005 survey.

by002re: Flag indicating if R is in 70% End-of-Life Sample. Does not apply to current or last 10 years Wiscville residents, since 100% of them will get the End of Life modules.

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
 Source variables: EOLFLAG

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAPPROPRIATE (R IS WISCVILLE RESIDENT)	38	38	76
0	R IS NOT IN END-OF-LIFE SAMPLE	286	377	663
1	R IS IN END-OF-LIFE SAMPLE	622	764	1386

by003rec: Have you made any preparations for the end of life? I.e., 1 or more of the following: plans about types of future medical treatment; discussion of health care plans and preferences; legal arrangements for someone to make decisions about R's medical care; living will/advance directive?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: BY004RE, BY010RE, BY022RE, and BY030RE

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	12	8	20
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAP (BY002RE==0 NOT ENOUGH INFO)	290	377	667
1	YES	544	669	1213
2	NO	100	125	225

Note: If R said "YES" for any of the 4 items, this variable is coded 1, "YES". If R said "NO" or "in process" for all 4 of the items, this variable is coded 2, "NO". Otherwise, this variable is coded -2, "INAPPROPRIATE".

by004re: Have you made plans about the types of medical treatment you want if you become seriously ill in the future?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: wpa1

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	12	8	20
-3	REFUSED	2	0	2
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAPPROPRIATE (BY002RE == 0)	287	377	664
-1	DON'T KNOW	2	1	3
1	YES	440	534	974
2	NO	203	259	462

by010re: Have you discussed these health care plans and preferences with anyone?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: wpa4

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	12	8	20
-3	REFUSED	2	0	2
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAPPROPRIATE (BY002RE == 0)	287	377	664
-1	DON'T KNOW	0	1	1
1	YES	453	607	1060
2	NO	192	186	378

by011rec: Number of people with whom R has discussed health care plans and preferences, allow up to 3.

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: BY010RE, BY018RE, BY020RE

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-2	Inappropriate INAPPROPRIATE (BY002RE==0 BY010RE<1)	289	378	667
0	0 PEOPLE	192	186	378
1	1 PERSON	172	176	348
2	2 PEOPLE	161	211	372
3	3 PEOPLE	119	220	339

Note: If R said "YES" to BY010RE, this variable will be at least 1. It will be 2 if R also named someone for BY018RE, and 3 if R also named someone for BY020RE.

by012rec: Did R discuss these health care plans with spouse?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
 Source variables: BY016RE, BY018RE, BY020RE

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAP (BY002RE==0 BY010RE!=1 SEE NOTE)	483	566	1049
1	YES	311	416	727
2	NO	139	189	328

Note: This variable is set to 1 "YES" if "spouse" is mentioned in BY016RE, BY018RE, or BY020RE (i.e., if any of those variables are set to 1 SPOUSE; 2 SPOUSE AND CHILDREN; 167 SPOUSE AND OTHER RELATIVES; 169 SPOUSE,KID(S),& OTHER RELATIVES; 170 SPOUSE AND NONRELATIVES; or 172 SPOUSE, KID/GKID & NONRELATIVES). It is set to "NO" if none of the people mentioned in those variable is the spouse. It is set to -2 "INAPPROPRIATE" if no spouse is mentioned and any of the three constituent variables is -1 or -3, or if this question doesn't apply because R is not in the End-of-Life sample or didn't discuss health care plans with anyone. In a few (very rare) cases, respondents who in our records are not currently married nonetheless list a spouse. These cases are retained.

by013rec: How well does spouse understand R's preferences and plans for future medical treatment?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: BY017RE, BY019RE, BY021RE

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAP (BY002RE==0 BY012REC!=1)	622	755	1377
1	EXTREMELY WELL	256	326	582
2	SOMEWHAT WELL	53	84	137
3	NOT VERY WELL	2	6	8

Note: This variable shows the level of understanding associated with the person or people referred to in variable BY012REC above. Thus, if BY012REC is based on BY016RE, this variable replicates BY017RE; if it's based on BY018RE, this replicates BY019RE; and if it's based on BY020RE, this variable replicates BY021RE.

by014rec: Did R discuss these health care plans with one or more children, including stepchildren? Convenience variable paired with BY015REC.

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: BY016RE, BY018RE, BY020RE

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAP (BY002RE==0 BY010RE!=1 SEE NOTE)	484	567	1051
1	YES	268	426	694
2	NO	181	178	359

Note: This variable is set to 1 "YES" if one or more children (including stepchildren) is mentioned in BY016RE, BY018RE, or BY020RE (i.e., if any of those 3 variables is set to 2 SPOUSE AND CHILD/CHILDREN; 10 SON; 11 MULTIPLE SONS; 12 DAUGHTER; 13 MULTIPLE DAUGHTERS; 14 CHILD/CHILD-IN-LAW/GRANDCHILD (M or F); 15 MULTIPLE CHILDREN (GENDER UNSPECIFIED); 16 MULTIPLE CHILDREN, MIXED SEX; 46 STEPSON; 66 STEPDAUGHTER; 70 SON AND DAUGHTER-IN-LAW; 71 DAUGHTER AND SON-IN-LAW; 72 MULTIPLE CHILDREN AND CHILDREN-IN-LAW; 73 CHILD(REN) AND STEPCHILD(REN); 168 CHILDREN AND OTHER RELATIVES; 169 SPOUSE,KID(S),&OTHER RELATIVES; 171 MULTIPLE: KIDS/GRANDKIDS & NONRELATIVES; or 172 MULTIPLE:SPOUSE, KID/GKID & NONRELATIVES).

This variable is set to "no" if none of the people mentioned in BY016RE, BY018RE, and BY020RE is a child. It is set to -2 "INAPPROPRIATE" if any of the three constituent variables is -1 or -3, or if this question doesn't apply because R is not in the End-of-Life sample or didn't discuss health care plans with anyone. In rare cases, respondents who according to our records are childless may nonetheless name a child. These cases are retained.

by015rec: How well does child understand R's preferences and plans for future medical treatment? Convenience variable paired with BY014REC.

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone

Source variables: BY017RE, BY019RE, BY021RE

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAP (BY002RE==0 BY014REC!=1)	665	745	1410
-1	DON'T KNOW	1	2	3
1	EXTREMELY WELL	180	283	463
2	SOMEWHAT WELL	85	134	219
3	NOT VERY WELL	2	6	8
4	NOT AT ALL	0	1	1

Note: If a child or children are mentioned more than once in BY016RE, BY018RE, BY020RE, this variable refers to the level of understanding associated with the *first* mentioned child(ren). This variable will thus replicate either BY017RE, BY019RE, or BY021RE -- whichever one first pertains to children.

by016re: Who would the first person be with whom you have discussed these health care plans and preferences?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone

Source variables: wpa4a

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	12	8	20
-3	REFUSED	0	1	1
-2	Inappropriate INAP (BY002RE == 0 wpa4 != 1)	481	564	1045
-1	DON'T KNOW	2	1	3
1	SPOUSE	300	392	692
10	SON	27	66	93
11	MULTIPLE SONS	2	5	7
12	DAUGHTER	52	79	131
13	MULTIPLE DAUGHTERS	2	3	5
14	CHILD/CHILD-IN-LAW/GRANDCHILD (M OR F)	1	3	4
16	MULTIPLE CHILDREN, MIXED SEX	9	15	24
20	BROTHER	0	1	1
22	SISTER	0	6	6
31	FATHER	0	1	1
33	NEPHEW	1	0	1
41	BROTHER-IN-LAW	0	1	1
42	SON-IN-LAW	1	0	1
51	MOTHER	0	1	1
53	NIECE	1	2	3
61	SISTER-IN-LAW	1	0	1
66	STEPDAUGHTER	2	2	4

81	BOTH PARENTS	0	1	1
99	OTHER MULTIPLE RELATIVES	0	1	1
105	FRIEND(S)	1	2	3
106	CO-WORKER(S)	1	1	2
120	PHYSICIAN/HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL	14	9	23
121	HOSPITAL/CLINIC	1	0	1
125	MINISTER/RABBI/PRIEST/CHURCH/ETC	0	2	2
130	INSURANCE COMPANY/AGENT	3	1	4
131	FINANCIAL ADVISOR/PLANNER	4	2	6
132	ATTORNEY/LAWYER	27	8	35
134	TRUST/REVOCABLE TRUST/TRUSTEE(S)	1	0	1
180	SOMEONE'S NAME, RELATIONSHIP UNKNOWN	0	1	1

by017re: How well does this first person understand your preferences and plans for future medical treatment?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
 Source variables: wpa4b

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	12	8	20
-3	REFUSED	1	0	1
-2	Inappropriate INAPPR. (BY002RE==0 wpa4!=1 wpa4a<1)	482	566	1048
-1	DON'T KNOW	0	2	2
1	EXTREMELY WELL	359	466	825
2	SOMEWHAT WELL	89	128	217
3	NOT VERY WELL	3	8	11
4	NOT AT ALL	0	1	1

Note: In a handful of cases, not all people named in BY016RE had the same level of understanding in BY017RE. In those cases, the level of understanding of the *first* person named was recorded.

by018re: Who would the next person be with whom you have discussed these health care plans and preferences?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: wpa4d

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-2	Inappropriate INAP (BY002RE == 0 wpa4c != 1)	653	740	1393
-1	DON'T KNOW	1	0	1
1	SPOUSE	10	18	28
10	SON	80	107	187
11	MULTIPLE SONS	7	18	25
12	DAUGHTER	91	163	254
13	MULTIPLE DAUGHTERS	7	11	18
14	CHILD/CHILD-IN-LAW/GRANDCHILD (M OR F)	1	1	2
15	MULTIPLE CHILDREN (GENDER UNSPECIFIED)	2	1	3
16	MULTIPLE CHILDREN, MIXED SEX	23	37	60
20	BROTHER	7	3	10
22	SISTER	2	14	16
23	MULTIPLE SISTERS	0	1	1
24	SIBLING (GENDER UNSPECIFIED)	0	1	1
29	WHOLE FAMILY	1	0	1
31	FATHER	0	1	1
33	NEPHEW	0	2	2
41	BROTHER-IN-LAW	3	1	4
42	SON-IN-LAW	0	1	1

46	STEPSON	2	2	4
51	MOTHER	0	6	6
53	NIECE	0	1	1
61	SISTER-IN-LAW	5	2	7
62	DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	0	2	2
66	STEPDAUGHTER	1	2	3
81	BOTH PARENTS	1	2	3
99	OTHER MULTIPLE RELATIVES	2	0	2
105	FRIEND(S)	5	10	15
120	PHYSICIAN/HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL	11	8	19
121	HOSPITAL/CLINIC	1	0	1
125	MINISTER/RABBI/PRIEST/CHURCH/ETC	1	1	2
126	SOCIAL WORKER	0	1	1
131	FINANCIAL ADVISOR/PLANNER	1	1	2
132	ATTORNEY/LAWYER	14	10	24
180	SOMEONE'S NAME, RELATIONSHIP UNKNOWN	1	3	4

by019re: How well does this person understand your preferences and plans for future medical treatment?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
 Source variables: wpa4e

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-3	REFUSED	1	0	1
-2	Inappropriate INAPPR. (BY002RE==0 wpa4c!=1 wpa4d<1)	654	740	1394
-1	DON'T KNOW	2	1	3
1	EXTREMELY WELL	177	274	451
2	SOMEWHAT WELL	94	144	238
3	NOT VERY WELL	5	11	16
4	NOT AT ALL	0	1	1

by020re: Who would the third person be with whom you have discussed these health care plans and preferences?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: wpa4g

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-2	Inappropriate INAP (BY002RE == 0 wpa4f != 1)	814	951	1765
-1	DON'T KNOW	0	1	1
1	SPOUSE	2	8	10
10	SON	35	68	103
11	MULTIPLE SONS	3	2	5
12	DAUGHTER	44	61	105
13	MULTIPLE DAUGHTERS	2	1	3
14	CHILD/CHILD-IN-LAW/GRANDCHILD (M OR F)	0	1	1
16	MULTIPLE CHILDREN, MIXED SEX	11	5	16

20	BROTHER	1	6	7
22	SISTER	0	20	20
26	MULTIPLE SIBLINGS, MIXED SEX	0	1	1
31	FATHER	0	1	1
42	SON-IN-LAW	0	1	1
46	STEPSON	1	0	1
51	MOTHER	0	1	1
61	SISTER-IN-LAW	1	4	5
62	DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	2	0	2
66	STEPDAUGHTER	0	2	2
81	BOTH PARENTS	1	3	4
95	MULTIPLE IN-LAWS	1	2	3
98	OTHER RELATIVE (GENDER UNSPECIFIED)	1	0	1
99	OTHER MULTIPLE RELATIVES	0	2	2
105	FRIEND(S)	1	8	9
120	PHYSICIAN/HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL	6	12	18
125	MINISTER/RABBI/PRIEST/CHURCH/ETC	0	2	2
131	FINANCIAL ADVISOR/PLANNER	0	1	1
132	ATTORNEY/LAWYER	7	4	11
180	SOMEONE'S NAME, RELATIONSHIP UNKNOWN	0	2	2
199	OTHER	0	1	1

by021re: How well does this third person understand your preferences and plans for future medical treatment?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
 Source variables: wpa4h

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-2	Inappropriate INAPPR. (BY002RE==0 wpa4f!=1 wpa4g<1)	814	952	1766
-1	DON'T KNOW	1	0	1
1	EXTREMELY WELL	66	136	202
2	SOMEWHAT WELL	49	81	130
3	NOT VERY WELL	3	1	4
4	NOT AT ALL	0	1	1

Note: In very rare cases, not all people named in BY020RE had the same level of understanding in BY021RE. In those cases, the level of understanding of the *first* person named was recorded.

by022re: Have you made any legal arrangements for someone to make decisions about your medical care if you become unable to make those decisions yourself? This is sometimes called a Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care.

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: wpb1

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-3	REFUSED	1	0	1
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAPPROPRIATE (BY002RE == 0)	287	377	664
-1	DON'T KNOW	1	3	4
1	YES	388	423	811
2	NO	256	365	621
4	IN PROCESS OF MAKING THIS	0	3	3

Note: These legal arrangements are sometimes called a Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care. Note also that, though this question appeared in the instrument as a yes-or-no question, respondents volunteering the information that they were in the process of making such legal arrangements were coded as "4 IN PROCESS OF MAKING THIS".

by023rec: Does spouse have that authority to make decisions about R’s medical care?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
 Source variables: wpb2

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAP (BY002RE==0 BY022RE!=1 SEE NOTE)	548	752	1300
1	YES	235	221	456
2	NO	150	198	348

Note: This variable is set to 1 "YES" if "spouse" is mentioned in BY025RE (i.e., if BY025RE is set to 1 SPOUSE; 2 SPOUSE AND CHILDREN; 167 SPOUSE AND OTHER RELATIVES; 169 SPOUSE,KID(S),& OTHER RELATIVES; 170 SPOUSE AND NONRELATIVES; or 172 SPOUSE, KID/GKID & NONRELATIVES). It is set to "no" if only non-spouses are mentioned in BY025RE. It is set to -2 "INAPPROPRIATE" if BY025RE is -1 DON’T KNOW or -3 REFUSED, or if this question doesn’t apply because R is not in the End-of-Life sample or didn’t give medical authority to anyone. In a few (very rare) cases, respondents who in our records are not currently married nonetheless list a spouse. These cases are retained.

by024rec: Do 1 or more of R’s children, including stepchildren, have that authority to make decisions about R’s medical care?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
 Source variables: BY022RE, BY025RE

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAP (BY002RE==0 BY022RE!=1 SEE NOTE)	548	752	1300
1	YES	140	189	329
2	NO	245	230	475

Note: This variable is set to 1 "YES" if one or more children (including stepchildren) is mentioned in BY025RE (i.e., if BY025RE is set to 2 SPOUSE AND CHILD/CHILDREN; 10 SON; 11 MULTIPLE SONS; 12 DAUGHTER; 13 MULTIPLE DAUGHTERS; 14 CHILD/CHILD-IN-LAW/GRANDCHILD (M or F); 15 MULTIPLE CHILDREN (GENDER UNSPECIFIED); 16 MULTIPLE CHILDREN, MIXED SEX; 46 STEPSON; 66 STEPDAUGHTER; 70 SON AND DAUGHTER-IN-LAW; 71 DAUGHTER AND SON-IN-LAW; 72 MULTIPLE CHILDREN AND CHILDREN-IN-LAW; 73 CHILD(REN) AND STEPCHILD(REN); 168 CHILDREN AND OTHER RELATIVES; 169 SPOUSE,KID(S),&OTHER RELATIVES; 171 MULTIPLE: KIDS/GRANDKIDS & NONRELATIVES; or 172 MULTIPLE:SPOUSE, KID/GKID & NONRELATIVES).

This variable is set to "no" if none of the people mentioned in BY025RE is a child. It is set to -2 "INAPPROPRIATE" if BY025RE is -1 "DON'T KNOW" or -3 "REFUSED", or if this question doesn't apply because R is not in the End-of-Life sample or didn't give medical authority to anyone. In rare cases, respondents who according to our records are childless may nonetheless name a child. These cases are retained.

by025re: Who has that authority to make decisions about your medical care?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
 Source variables: wpb2

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-3	REFUSED	2	2	4
-2	Inappropriate INAP (BY002RE == 0 wpb1 != 1)	545	748	1293
-1	DON'T KNOW	1	2	3
1	SPOUSE	218	206	424

2	SPOUSE AND CHILD/CHILDREN	12	13	25
10	SON	42	72	114
11	MULTIPLE SONS	7	4	11
12	DAUGHTER	50	73	123
13	MULTIPLE DAUGHTERS	5	4	9
14	CHILD/CHILD-IN-LAW/GRANDCHILD (M OR F)	1	1	2
16	MULTIPLE CHILDREN, MIXED SEX	18	16	34
20	BROTHER	2	0	2
22	SISTER	0	4	4
41	BROTHER-IN-LAW	1	2	3
42	SON-IN-LAW	2	0	2
53	NIECE	3	2	5
60	MOTHER-IN-LAW	1	0	1
61	SISTER-IN-LAW	3	0	3
62	DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	0	2	2
73	CHILD(REN) AND STEPCHILD(REN)	1	0	1
105	FRIEND(S)	0	1	1
120	PHYSICIAN/HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL	1	2	3
121	HOSPITAL/CLINIC	2	0	2
131	FINANCIAL ADVISOR/PLANNER	0	2	2
132	ATTORNEY/LAWYER	7	11	18
167	MULTIPLE: SPOUSE AND OTHER RELATIVES	4	1	5
168	MULTIPLE: KID(S) AND OTHER RELATIVES	1	0	1
170	MULTIPLE: SPOUSE AND NON-RELATIVES (HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL, HEALTHCARE FACILITY, ATTORNEY)	1	0	1
171	MULTIPLE: KIDS/GRANDKIDS AND NON-RELATIVES (HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL, HEALTHCARE FACILITY, ATTORNEY)	1	1	2

172	MULTIPLE: SPOUSE, KID/GRANDKID AND NON-RELATIVES (HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL, HEALTHCARE FACILITY, ATTORNEY)	0	1	1
199	OTHER	2	1	3

**by026re: If you were to pick a person to make medical decisions for you,
who would you choose?**

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: wpb3

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-3	REFUSED	2	0	2
-2	Inappropriate INAP (BY002RE == 0 wpb1 == 1)	675	800	1475
-1	DON'T KNOW	5	10	15
1	SPOUSE	150	211	361
2	SPOUSE AND CHILD/CHILDREN	2	2	4
10	SON	32	39	71
11	MULTIPLE SONS	1	1	2
12	DAUGHTER	38	77	115
13	MULTIPLE DAUGHTERS	3	0	3
14	CHILD/CHILD-IN-LAW/GRANDCHILD (M OR F)	4	1	5
16	MULTIPLE CHILDREN, MIXED SEX	4	5	9
20	BROTHER	5	2	7
22	SISTER	3	10	13
41	BROTHER-IN-LAW	1	0	1
53	NIECE	3	2	5
61	SISTER-IN-LAW	0	2	2
62	DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	0	1	1
82	COUSIN (GENDER UNSPECIFIED)	1	2	3
105	FRIEND(S)	1	4	5
120	PHYSICIAN/HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL	2	0	2
131	FINANCIAL ADVISOR/PLANNER	0	1	1
132	ATTORNEY/LAWYER	0	1	1
199	OTHER	1	0	1

by030re: Do you have a living will or an advance directive, which is written instructions about the type of medical treatment you would want to receive if you were unconscious or somehow unable to communicate?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: wpc1

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-3	REFUSED	1	0	1
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAPPROPRIATE (BY002RE == 0)	287	377	664
-1	DON'T KNOW	2	6	8
1	YES	410	436	846
2	NO	233	350	583
4	IN PROCESS OF MAKING THIS	0	2	2

Note: These are written instructions about the type of medical treatment R would want to receive if R were unconscious or somehow unable to communicate. Note also that, though this question appeared in the instrument as a yes-or-no question, respondents volunteering the information that they were in the process of making a living will or advance directive were coded as "4 IN PROCESS OF MAKING THIS".

by031rec: Has R given these written instructions, living will or advance directive, to *anyone*?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: wpc2

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAP (BY002RE==0 NO LIVING WILL BY034RE==4 -5)	523	735	1258
-1	DON'T KNOW	2	2	4
1	YES	387	404	791
2	NO	21	30	51

by032rec: Has R given these written instructions, living will or advance directive, to spouse?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
 Source variables: wpc2

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAP (BY002RE==0 BY031REC!=1 SEE NOTE)	546	768	1314
1	YES	191	170	361
2	NO	196	233	429

Note: This variable is set to 1 "YES" if "spouse" is mentioned in BY034RE, BY035RE, BY036re, BY037RE, or BY038RE (i.e., if any of those variables are set to 1 SPOUSE; 2 SPOUSE AND CHILDREN; 167 SPOUSE AND OTHER RELATIVES; 169 SPOUSE,KID(S),&OTHER RELATIVES; 170 SPOUSE AND NONRELATIVES; or 172 SPOUSE, KID/GKID & NONRELATIVES). It is set to "NO" if none of the people mentioned in those variable is the spouse. It is set to -2 "INAPPROPRIATE" if a spouse is not listed and any of the five constituent variables is -1 or -3, or if this question doesn't apply because R is not in the End-of-Life sample or didn't give the living will to anyone. In a few (very rare) cases, respondents who in our records are not currently married nonetheless list a spouse. These cases are retained. NOTE also that due to instrument error, some cases of -3 "refusal" in BY034RE through BY038RE may have been lost (and coded as -2). Thus, there may be a small number of cases in which this variable (BY032REC) will be set to 2 "NO" when it should in fact be set to -2 "INAPPROPRIATE".

by033rec: Has R given these written instructions, living will or advance directive, to one or more children, including stepchildren?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: BY031RE, BY034RE-BY038RE

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAP (BY002RE==0 BY031REC!=1 SEE NOTE)	547	769	1316
1	YES	249	266	515
2	NO	137	136	273

Note: This variable is set to 1 "YES" if one or more children (including stepchildren) are mentioned in any of the variables BY034RE through BY038RE (i.e., if any of those five variables is set to 2 SPOUSE AND CHILD/CHILDREN; 10 SON; 11 MULTIPLE SONS; 12 DAUGHTER; 13 MULTIPLE DAUGHTERS; 14 CHILD/CHILD-IN-LAW/GRANDCHILD (M or F); 15 MULTIPLE CHILDREN (GENDER UNSPECIFIED); 16 MULTIPLE CHILDREN, MIXED SEX; 46 STEPSON; 66 STEPDAUGHTER; 70 SON AND DAUGHTER-IN-LAW; 71 DAUGHTER AND SON-IN-LAW; 72 MULTIPLE CHILDREN AND CHILDREN-IN-LAW; 73 CHILD(REN) AND STEPCHILD(REN); 168 CHILDREN AND OTHER RELATIVES; 169 SPOUSE,KID(S),& OTHER RELATIVES; 171 MULTIPLE: KIDS/GRANDKIDS & NONRELATIVES; or 172 MULTIPLE:SPOUSE, KID/GKID & NONRELATIVES).

This variable is set to 2 "NO" if none of the people mentioned in BY034RE through BY038RE is a child. It is set to -2 "INAPPROPRIATE" if any of the five constituent variables is -1 or -3, or if this question doesn't apply because R is not in the End-of-Life sample or R hasn't given the living will to anyone. In rare cases, respondents who according to our records are childless may nonetheless name a child. These cases are retained.

NOTE also that due to instrument error, some cases of -3 "refusal" in BY034RE through BY038RE may have been lost (and coded as -2). Thus, there may be a small number of cases in which this variable (BY033REC) will be set to 2 "NO" when it should in fact be set to -2 "INAPPROPRIATE".

by034re: First person to whom R has given these written instructions, living will or advance directive.

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: wpc2

Frequencies

Value	Label	Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-2	Inappropriate INAP (BY002RE==0 wpc1!=1 SEE NOTE)	537	747	1284
-1	DON'T KNOW	2	2	4
1	SPOUSE	140	115	255
10	SON	1	0	1
13	MULTIPLE DAUGHTERS	1	2	3
14	CHILD/CHILD-IN-LAW/GRANDCHILD (M OR F)	131	166	297
16	MULTIPLE CHILDREN, MIXED SEX	3	4	7
20	BROTHER	7	2	9
22	SISTER	0	4	4
41	BROTHER-IN-LAW	0	3	3
53	NIECE	1	2	3
54	MULTIPLE NIECES	1	0	1
61	SISTER-IN-LAW	3	0	3
62	DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	1	0	1
66	STEPDAUGHTER	1	0	1
73	CHILD(REN) AND STEPCHILD(REN)	1	0	1
105	FRIEND(S)	4	3	7
120	PHYSICIAN/HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL	31	39	70
121	HOSPITAL/CLINIC	3	6	9
125	MINISTER/RABBI/PRIEST/CHURCH/ETC	0	1	1
132	ATTORNEY/LAWYER	40	36	76
134	TRUST/REVOCABLE TRUST/TRUSTEE(S)	1	0	1
138	IN SAFE DEPOSIT BOX	11	9	20
150	AT HOME	4	12	16

174	MULTIPLE: NON-RELATIVES (HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL, HEALTHCARE FACILITY, ATTORNEY, BANK)	1	0	1
190	NO ONE	7	18	25
199	OTHER	1	0	1

Note: Due to instrument error, the distinction between a -3 "refusal" and a -2 "inappropriate" was lost in the wpc2_a-j loop. Thus, some of the answers coded here as -2, "INAPPROPRIATE" might in fact be -3, "REFUSED"s.

by035re: Second person to whom R has given these written instructions, living will or advance directive

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone

Source variables: wpc2

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-3	REFUSED	1	0	1
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAP (BY002RE==0 NO 2ND PERSON SEE NOTE)	708	950	1658
-1	DON'T KNOW	0	1	1
1	SPOUSE	38	37	75
10	SON	32	22	54
11	MULTIPLE SONS	8	2	10
12	DAUGHTER	33	29	62
13	MULTIPLE DAUGHTERS	7	7	14
14	CHILD/CHILD-IN-LAW/GRANDCHILD (M OR F)	2	7	9
16	MULTIPLE CHILDREN, MIXED SEX	21	16	37
20	BROTHER	2	1	3
22	SISTER	2	5	7
23	MULTIPLE SISTERS	0	1	1

Wisconsin Longitudinal Study Codebook

24	SIBLING (GENDER UNSPECIFIED)	0	1	1
33	NEPHEW	1	2	3
41	BROTHER-IN-LAW	2	0	2
42	SON-IN-LAW	1	1	2
46	STEPSON	1	0	1
53	NIECE	0	1	1
61	SISTER-IN-LAW	2	0	2
66	STEPDAUGHTER	2	2	4
70	SON AND DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	1	0	1
81	BOTH PARENTS	1	1	2
95	MULTIPLE IN-LAWS	1	1	2
105	FRIEND(S)	0	1	1
120	PHYSICIAN/HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL	18	33	51
121	HOSPITAL/CLINIC	6	6	12
125	MINISTER/RABBI/PRIEST/CHURCH/ETC	1	1	2
131	FINANCIAL ADVISOR/PLANNER	0	2	2
132	ATTORNEY/LAWYER	31	31	62
138	IN SAFE DEPOSIT BOX	6	5	11
150	AT HOME	1	3	4
167	MULTIPLE: SPOUSE AND OTHER RELATIVES	1	0	1
172	MULTIPLE: SPOUSE, KID/GRANDKID AND NON-RELATIVES (HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL, HEALTHCARE FACILITY, ATTORNEY)	1	0	1
199	OTHER	2	2	4

Note: Due to instrument error, the distinction between a -3 "refusal" and a -2 "inappropriate" was lost in the wpc2_a-j loop. Thus, some of the answers coded here as -2, "INAPPROPRIATE" might in fact be -3, "REFUSED"s.

**by036re: Third person to whom R has given these written instructions,
living will or advance directive.**

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone

Source variables: wpc2

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAP (BY002RE==0 NO 3RD PERSON SEE NOTE)	852	1091	1943
-1	DON'T KNOW	0	1	1
1	SPOUSE	11	16	27
10	SON	8	5	13
12	DAUGHTER	7	3	10
13	MULTIPLE DAUGHTERS	0	2	2
14	CHILD/CHILD-IN-LAW/GRANDCHILD (M OR F)	1	1	2
16	MULTIPLE CHILDREN, MIXED SEX	8	5	13
20	BROTHER	2	1	3
22	SISTER	1	1	2
33	NEPHEW	1	1	2
41	BROTHER-IN-LAW	1	1	2
50	GRANDDAUGHTER	1	0	1
51	MOTHER	0	2	2
98	OTHER RELATIVE (GENDER UNSPECIFIED)	0	1	1
99	OTHER MULTIPLE RELATIVES	0	2	2
105	FRIEND(S)	0	2	2
120	PHYSICIAN/HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL	10	15	25
121	HOSPITAL/CLINIC	5	4	9
125	MINISTER/RABBI/PRIEST/CHURCH/ETC	2	0	2

126	SOCIAL WORKER	0	1	1
131	FINANCIAL ADVISOR/PLANNER	1	0	1
132	ATTORNEY/LAWYER	13	14	27
138	IN SAFE DEPOSIT BOX	9	1	10
150	AT HOME	0	1	1

Note: Due to instrument error, the distinction between a -3 "refusal" and a -2 "inappropriate" was lost in the wpc2_a-j loop. Thus, some of the answers coded here as -2, "INAPPROPRIATE" might in fact be -3, "REFUSED"s.

by037re: Fourth person to whom R has given these written instructions, living will or advance directive.

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
 Source variables: wpc2

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAP (BY002RE==0 NO 4TH PERSON SEE NOTE)	921	1147	2068
1	SPOUSE	2	2	4
10	SON	1	0	1
12	DAUGHTER	0	1	1
13	MULTIPLE DAUGHTERS	1	0	1
16	MULTIPLE CHILDREN, MIXED SEX	0	3	3
23	MULTIPLE SISTERS	0	1	1
66	STEPDAUGHTER	0	1	1
105	FRIEND(S)	0	1	1
120	PHYSICIAN/HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL	1	2	3
121	HOSPITAL/CLINIC	1	7	8
130	INSURANCE COMPANY/AGENT	1	0	1
132	ATTORNEY/LAWYER	5	4	9
138	IN SAFE DEPOSIT BOX	0	1	1
199	OTHER	0	1	1

Note: Due to instrument error, the distinction between a -3 "refusal" and a -2 "inappropriate" was lost in the wpc2_a-j loop. Thus, some of the answers coded here as -2, "INAPPROPRIATE" might in fact be -3, "REFUSED"s.

by038re: Fifth person to whom R has given these written instructions, living will or advance directive.

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: wpc2

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAP (BY002RE==0 NO 5TH PERSON SEE NOTE)	931	1168	2099
120	PHYSICIAN/HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL	0	1	1
138	IN SAFE DEPOSIT BOX	2	2	4

Note: Due to instrument error, the distinction between a -3 "refusal" and a -2 "inappropriate" was lost in the wpc2_a-j loop. Thus, some of the answers coded here as -2, "INAPPROPRIATE" might in fact be -3, "REFUSED"s.

by040re: Please think about all your assets, including your home, savings, life insurance, and the like. If you were to die tomorrow, who would get these assets?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: wpe1

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-3	REFUSED	6	8	14
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAPPROPRIATE (BY002RE == 0)	287	377	664
-1	DON'T KNOW	3	10	13
1	SPOUSE	462	519	981
2	SPOUSE AND CHILDREN	32	26	58
5	ALL CHILDREN	101	190	291
6	ONLY SOME CHILD(REN)	7	9	16
8	CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN	2	1	3
9	SPOUSE, CHILDREN, AND GRANDCHILDREN	0	1	1
20	BROTHERS OR SISTERS	1	2	3
30	SPOUSE AND SIBLING(S)	0	1	1
45	OTHER RELATIVES (OR COMBINATIONS OF RELATIVES)	5	4	9
50	ROMANTIC/NON-SPOUSE PARTNER	0	2	2
60	CHARITY (INCLUDING CHURCH)	2	2	4
61	CHARITY AND FAMILY MEMBERS	0	3	3
65	TRUST FUND	16	9	25
66	TRUST FUND AND FAMILY MEMBERS	1	0	1
70	THE ESTATE	2	1	3
85	AS SPECIFIED IN WILL/WRITING	1	1	2
90	NOTHING SPECIFIED IN WRITING	1	4	5
99	OTHER	4	1	5

by041re: If you outlived your spouse, who would YOUR assets go to?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone

Source variables: wpe2c

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAP (BY002RE == 0 NO SPOUSE)	440	623	1063
-1	DON'T KNOW	13	8	21
5	ALL CHILDREN	422	479	901
6	ONLY SOME CHILD(REN)	15	8	23
8	CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN	3	7	10
20	BROTHERS OR SISTERS	5	11	16
33	SPOUSE'S CHILDREN (WHO AREN'T PARTICIPANT'S CHILDREN)	1	1	2
40	THE WHOLE FAMILY	0	1	1
45	OTHER RELATIVES (OR COMBINATIONS OF RELATIVES)	19	13	32
60	CHARITY (INCLUDING CHURCH)	3	1	4
61	CHARITY AND FAMILY MEMBERS	1	9	10
65	TRUST FUND	3	5	8
70	THE ESTATE	1	1	2
80	IN PROCESS OF DECIDING	1	0	1
85	AS SPECIFIED IN WILL/WRITING	2	0	2
90	NOTHING SPECIFIED IN WRITING	1	3	4
99	OTHER	3	1	4

by042re: Do you have a signed and witnessed will?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
 Source variables: wpe5

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-3	REFUSED	1	0	1
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAPPROPRIATE (BY002RE == 0)	287	377	664
-1	DON'T KNOW	3	3	6
1	YES	510	602	1112
2	NO	132	187	319
3	SIGNED, BUT NOT WITNESSED	0	2	2

Note: Though this question appeared in the instrument as a yes-or-no question, respondents volunteering the information that they had a signed but not witnessed will were coded as "3 SIGNED, BUT NOT WITNESSED", and those volunteering that they were in the process of making a will were coded as "4 IN PROCESS OF MAKING THIS".

by043re: Do you have a revocable trust? Revocable trusts designate who will get property in that trust after their death.

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
 Source variables: wpe4

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-3	REFUSED	1	0	1
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAPPROPRIATE (BY002RE == 0)	287	377	664
-1	DON'T KNOW	16	31	47
1	YES	218	219	437
2	NO	411	543	954
4	IN PROCESS OF MAKING THIS	0	1	1

Note: "Revocable trusts designate who will get property in that trust after their death." Note also that, though this question appeared in the instrument as a yes-or-no question, respondents volunteering the information that they were in the process of creating a revocable trust were coded as "4 IN PROCESS OF MAKING THIS".

by044re: Do you have assets or property that will go to someone through a joint ownership or beneficiary designation?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: wpe6

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-3	REFUSED	2	3	5
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAPPROPRIATE (BY002RE == 0)	287	377	664
-1	DON'T KNOW	6	30	36
1	YES	337	440	777
2	NO	301	321	622

Note: For example, a joint bank account or a beneficiary designation on a life insurance policy or pension.

by045sp: If your spouse were to die tomorrow, how would most of his/her assets be distributed?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone

Source variables: wpe7

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-3	REFUSED	5	4	9
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAP (BY002RE == 0 NO SPOUSE)	287	377	664
-1	DON'T KNOW	14	16	30
1	SPOUSE	0	1	1
3	PARTICIPANT	523	705	1228
4	PARTICIPANT AND CHILDREN	39	34	73
5	ALL CHILDREN	32	15	47
6	ONLY SOME CHILD(REN)	3	4	7
8	CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN	1	0	1
9	SPOUSE, CHILDREN, AND GRANDCHILDREN	0	1	1
33	SPOUSE'S CHILDREN (WHO AREN'T PARTICIPANT'S CHILDREN)	4	2	6
36	PARTICIPANT AND SPOUSE'S CHILD(REN)	0	5	5
38	PARTICIPANT AND SPOUSE'S SIBLING(S)	2	1	3
45	OTHER RELATIVES (OR COMBINATIONS OF RELATIVES)	3	1	4
61	CHARITY AND FAMILY MEMBERS	0	1	1
65	TRUST FUND	15	3	18
70	THE ESTATE	2	0	2
85	AS SPECIFIED IN WILL/WRITING	2	0	2
99	OTHER	1	1	2

by046re: Who is designated as the executor of your estate or would be responsible for distribution of your estate?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: wpe9

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-3	REFUSED	5	3	8
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAPPROPRIATE (BY002RE == 0)	287	377	664
-1	DON'T KNOW	33	65	98
1	SPOUSE	205	225	430
2	SPOUSE AND CHILD/CHILDREN	8	7	15
10	SON	118	141	259
11	MULTIPLE SONS	5	10	15
12	DAUGHTER	116	127	243
13	MULTIPLE DAUGHTERS	4	9	13
14	CHILD/CHILD-IN-LAW/GRANDCHILD (M OR F)	2	2	4
15	MULTIPLE CHILDREN (GENDER UNSPECIFIED)	1	1	2
16	MULTIPLE CHILDREN, MIXED SEX	15	20	35
20	BROTHER	0	1	1
22	SISTER	1	2	3
24	SIBLING (GENDER UNSPECIFIED)	16	35	51
33	NEPHEW	2	1	3
41	BROTHER-IN-LAW	6	3	9
42	SON-IN-LAW	1	3	4
46	STEPSON	1	0	1
51	MOTHER	0	1	1

53	NIECE	3	1	4
61	SISTER-IN-LAW	1	3	4
62	DAUGHTER-IN-LAW	0	2	2
66	STEPDAUGHTER	2	1	3
73	CHILD(REN) AND STEPCHILD(REN)	1	1	2
82	COUSIN (GENDER UNSPECIFIED)	0	1	1
98	OTHER RELATIVE (GENDER UNSPECIFIED)	16	13	29
105	FRIEND(S)	5	9	14
131	FINANCIAL ADVISOR/PLANNER	1	2	3
132	ATTORNEY/LAWYER	17	23	40
133	PROBATE COURT/JUDGE/THE STATE	1	2	3
134	TRUST/REVOCABLE TRUST/TRUSTEE(S)	3	3	6
135	BANK OFFICER/TRUST DEPARTMENT AT BANK	9	12	21
167	MULTIPLE: SPOUSE AND OTHER RELATIVES	1	0	1
168	MULTIPLE: KID(S) AND OTHER RELATIVES	0	1	1
170	MULTIPLE: SPOUSE AND NON-RELATIVES (HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL, HEALTHCARE FACILITY, ATTORNEY)	0	1	1
171	MULTIPLE: KIDS/GRANDKIDS AND NON-RELATIVES (HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL, HEALTHCARE FACILITY, ATTORNEY)	1	0	1
190	NO ONE	44	60	104
199	OTHER	2	3	5

Note: NOTE TO INTERVIEWER: If multiple executors are named, ask who is most important.

by060re: When you think about THE LAST FEW DAYS OR WEEKS of your life, do you hope to spend them in your home, at the hospital, with hospice care, or in a nursing home?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: wpg1

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-3	REFUSED	3	6	9
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAPPROPRIATE (BY002RE == 0)	287	377	664
-1	DON'T KNOW	6	15	21
1	HOME (INCL. FAMILY MEMBER'S HOME)	585	696	1281
2	HOSPITAL	7	8	15
3	HOSPICE (NOT AT HOME)	13	23	36
4	IN-HOME HOSPICE CARE	8	18	26
5	/	0	1	1
6	NURSING HOME/BSSISTED LIVING FACILTY/ETC	7	11	18
25	DOING RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY OR OUTDOORS	5	0	5
40	DEPENDS ON THE SITUATION	1	8	9
45	NO PREFERENCE	8	8	16
99	OTHER	3	0	3

by061re: Suppose you had a serious illness TODAY with very low chances of survival. First, what if you were mentally intact but in severe and constant physical pain? Would you want to continue all medical treatments or stop all life prolonging treatments?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: wph1

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-3	REFUSED	8	12	20
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAPPROPRIATE (BY002RE == 0)	287	377	664
-1	DON'T KNOW	25	54	79
1	CONTINUE ALL TREATMNT SO R COULD SURVIVE	126	189	315
2	STOP ALL TREATMNT TO PROLONG R'S LIFE	480	534	1014
3	HAVE SOME TREATMENTS, BUT NOT OTHERS	4	2	6
4	DEPENDS ON THE SITUATION	3	3	6

Note: Full text of answer categories on instrument was as follows:

<1> CONTINUE ALL TREATMENT SO I COULD SURVIVE ("STAYING ALIVE IS MOST IMPORTANT TO ME NO MATTER WHAT.")

<2> STOP ALL TREATMENT TO PROLONG MY LIFE ("FOR ME, QUALITY OF LIFE IS MORE IMPORTANT THAN LENGTH OF LIFE.")

Respondents volunteering other responses were coded into one of 4 other categories: "3 HAVE SOME TREATMENTS, BUT NOT OTHERS", "4 DEPENDS ON THE SITUATION", "5 LEAVE IT UP TO FAMILY/DOCTOR/GOD", or "6 OTHER".

by062re: Second, suppose you had no physical pain, but would not be able to speak, walk, or recognize others, with very low chances of survival. Would you want to continue all medical treatments or stop all life-prolonging treatments?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone

Source variables: wph2

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-3	REFUSED	8	9	17
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAPPROPRIATE (BY002RE == 0)	287	377	664
-1	DON'T KNOW	19	34	53
1	CONTINUE ALL TREATMNT SO R COULD SURVIVE	55	66	121
2	STOP ALL TREATMNT TO PROLONG R'S LIFE	562	682	1244
3	HAVE SOME TREATMENTS, BUT NOT OTHERS	0	2	2
4	DEPENDS ON THE SITUATION	1	0	1
5	LEAVE IT UP TO FAMILY/DOCTOR/GOD	1	1	2

Note: Full text of answer categories on instrument was as follows:

<1> CONTINUE ALL TREATMENT SO I COULD SURVIVE ("STAYING ALIVE IS MOST IMPORTANT TO ME NO MATTER WHAT.")

<2> STOP ALL TREATMENT TO PROLONG MY LIFE ("FOR ME, QUALITY OF LIFE IS MORE IMPORTANT THAN LENGTH OF LIFE.")

Respondents volunteering other responses were coded into one of 4 other categories: "3 HAVE SOME TREATMENTS, BUT NOT OTHERS", "4 DEPENDS ON THE SITUATION", "5 LEAVE IT UP TO FAMILY/DOCTOR/GOD", or "6 OTHER".

by065re: How strictly do you want your family or care provider to follow your wishes for end of life medical care? Would you like them to strictly follow your wishes, or do what they think is best--even if their preferences are different from your own?

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone

Source variables: wph4

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-3	REFUSED	2	1	3
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAPPROPRIATE (BY002RE == 0)	287	377	664
-1	DON'T KNOW	4	18	22
1	STRICTLY FOLLOW R'S WISHES	501	606	1107
2	DO WHAT THEY THINK BEST AT THE TIME	139	167	306
4	DEPENDS ON THE SITUATION	0	2	2

Note: The answer categories on the instrument were "1 STRICTLY FOLLOW R's WISHES" and "2 DO WHAT THEY THINK IS BEST AT THE TIME". Respondents volunteering other responses were coded into one of 3 other categories: "4 DEPENDS ON THE SITUATION", "5 LEAVE IT UP TO FAMILY/DOCTOR/GOD", or "6 OTHER".

by070rec: Stage of Terri Schiavo case when R completed WLS phone interview

Data source: Sibling Spouse Respondent Collected in: 2005 Mode: phone
Source variables: date

Value	Label	Frequencies		
		Male	Female	Total
-5	PARTIAL INTERVIEW	13	8	21
-2	INAPPROPRIATE INAPPROPRIATE (BY002RE == 0)	286	377	663
4	After Schiavo's death on 31 Mar 2005	647	794	1441

Table of Contents

Phone: End of Life Preparation	1
by001re: Is respondent a Wiscville resident?	2
by002re: Flag indicating if R is in 70% End-of-Life Sample. Does not apply to current or last 10 years Wiscville residents, since 100% of them will get the End of Life modules.	2
by003rec: Have you made any preparations for the end of life? I.e., 1 or more of the following: plans about types of future medical treatment; discussion of health care plans and preferences; legal arrangements for someone to make decisions about R’s medical care; living will/advance directive?	3
by004re: Have you made plans about the types of medical treatment you want if you become seriously ill in the future?	3
by010re: Have you discussed these health care plans and preferences with anyone?	4
by011rec: Number of people with whom R has discussed health care plans and preferences, allow up to 3.	4
by012rec: Did R discuss these health care plans with spouse?	5
by013rec: How well does spouse understand R’s preferences and plans for future medical treatment?	6
by014rec: Did R discuss these health care plans with one or more children, including stepchildren? Convenience variable paired with BY015REC.	6
by015rec: How well does child understand R’s preferences and plans for future medical treatment? Convenience variable paired with BY014REC.	7
by016re: Who would the first person be with whom you have discussed these health care plans and preferences?	8
by017re: How well does this first person understand your preferences and plans for future medical treatment?	9
by018re: Who would the next person be with whom you have discussed these health care plans and preferences?	10
by019re: How well does this person understand your preferences and plans for future medical treatment?	11
by020re: Who would the third person be with whom you have discussed these health care plans and preferences?	12
by021re: How well does this third person understand your preferences and plans for future medical treatment?	13
by022re: Have you made any legal arrangements for someone to make decisions about your medical care if you become unable to make those decisions yourself? This is sometimes called a Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care.	14
by023rec: Does spouse have that authority to make decisions about R’s medical care?	15
by024rec: Do 1 or more of R’s children, including stepchildren, have that authority to make decisions about R’s medical care?	15
by025re: Who has that authority to make decisions about your medical care?	16
by026re: If you were to pick a person to make medical decisions for you, who would you choose?	18
by030re: Do you have a living will or an advance directive, which is written instructions about the type of medical treatment you would want to receive if you were unconscious or somehow unable to communicate?	20
by031rec: Has R given these written instructions, living will or advance directive, to *anyone*?	20

by032rec: Has R given these written instructions, living will or advance directive, to spouse?	21
by033rec: Has R given these written instructions, living will or advance directive, to one or more children, including stepchildren?	22
by034re: First person to whom R has given these written instructions, living will or advance directive.	22
by035re: Second person to whom R has given these written instructions, living will or advance directive	24
by036re: Third person to whom R has given these written instructions, living will or advance directive.	26
by037re: Fourth person to whom R has given these written instructions, living will or advance directive.	27
by038re: Fifth person to whom R has given these written instructions, living will or advance directive.	28
by040re: Please think about all your assets, including your home, savings, life insurance, and the like. If you were to die tomorrow, who would get these assets?	29
by041re: If you outlived your spouse, who would YOUR assets go to?	31
by042re: Do you have a signed and witnessed will?	32
by043re: Do you have a revocable trust? Revocable trusts designate who will get property in that trust after their death.	32
by044re: Do you have assets or property that will go to someone through a joint ownership or beneficiary designation?	33
by045sp: If your spouse were to die tomorrow, how would most of his/her assets be distributed?	34
by046re: Who is designated as the executor of your estate or would be responsible for distribution of your estate?	35
by060re: When you think about THE LAST FEW DAYS OR WEEKS of your life, do you hope to spend them in your home, at the hospital, with hospice care, or in a nursing home?	36
by061re: Suppose you had a serious illness TODAY with very low chances of survival. First, what if you were mentally intact but in severe and constant physical pain? Would you want to continue all medical treatments or stop all life prolonging treatments?	37
by062re: Second, suppose you had no physical pain, but would not be able to speak, walk, or recognize others, with very low chances of survival. Would you want to continue all medical treatments or stop all life-prolonging treatments?	38
by065re: How strictly do you want your family or care provider to follow your wishes for end of life medical care? Would you like them to strictly follow your wishes, or do what they think is best--even if their preferences are different from your own?	39
by070rec: Stage of Terri Schiavo case when R completed WLS phone interview	40