More on the New Keynesian Model

Noah Williams

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Noah Williams (UW Madison)

通 ト イヨ ト イヨト

The general equilibrium model

• Two equation system

$$\pi_t = \beta E_t \pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_t$$
$$x_t = E_t x_{t+1} - \left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \left(\hat{i}_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - r_t^n\right)$$

 Two equations but three unknowns: x_t, π_t, and i_t – need to specify monetary policy

(人間) トイヨト イヨト

Solving the model for the rational expectations equilibrium

- Suppose *i* is exogenous.
- Write system as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta & 0\\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_t \pi_{t+1}\\ E_t x_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\kappa\\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi_t\\ x_t \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ \frac{1}{\sigma} \end{bmatrix} (i_t - r_t^n)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_t \pi_{t+1} \\ E_t x_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\beta} & -\frac{\kappa}{\beta} \\ -\frac{1}{\sigma\beta} & 1 + \frac{\kappa}{\sigma\beta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi_t \\ x_t \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} \end{bmatrix} (i_t - r_t^n)$$

or

or

$$\mathbf{E}_t Z_{t+1} = M Z_t + N(i_t - r_t^n)$$

Solving the model for the rational expectations equilibrium

- There exists a unique, stationary rational expectations equilibrium if and only if the number of eigenvalues of *M* outside the unit circle is equal to the number of forward-looking variables (two).
- Condition is not satisfied!
- So a policy that just sets $i_t = r_t^n$ exogenously does not result in a unique rational expectations equilibrium.
- Self-fulfilling increase in expected inflation is possible.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Solving the model for the rational expectations equilibrium

• Suppose
$$i_t = r_t^n + \delta \pi_t$$
.

• Write system as

or

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta & 0\\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_t \pi_{t+1}\\ E_t x_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\kappa\\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi_t\\ x_t \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ \frac{1}{\sigma} \end{bmatrix} \delta \pi_t$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} E_t \pi_{t+1}\\ E_t x_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\beta} & -\frac{\kappa}{\beta}\\ \frac{\beta\delta-1}{\sigma\beta} & 1+\frac{\kappa}{\sigma\beta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi_t\\ x_t \end{bmatrix}$$

• Two eigenvalues outside the unit circle if and only if

$\delta > 1$

• Policy must respond sufficiently strongly to inflation.

Definition

The condition that the nominal interest rate respond more than one-for-one to inflation is called the Taylor Principle.

- - E - - - E - -



- Policy based on responding to exogenous disturbances does not ensure a unique equilibrium.
- Policy must respond to endogenous variables.
- In particular, the Taylor Principle needs to be satisfied.
 - If policy also responds to the output gap, then Bullard and Mitra show condition becomes

$$\kappa(\delta_{\pi}-1)+(1-\beta)\delta_{\times}>0.$$

The Wicksellian interest rate

• Basic model:

$$x_t = E_t x_{t+1} - \left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \left(i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - r_t^n\right)$$

$$\pi_t = \beta \mathbf{E}_t \pi_{t+1} + \kappa \mathbf{x}_t$$

- The impact of monetary policy on output and inflation operates through the real rate of interest;
- Wicksellian interest rate gap i_t E_tπ_{t+1} rⁿ_t summaries impact of monetary policy.

- 4 週 ト - 4 戸 ト - 4 戸 ト - -

The Wicksellian interest rate

Definition

Woodford (2003) has labelled r_t^n as the Wicksellian real interest rate. It is the interest rate consistent with output equal to the flexible-price equilibrium level. r^n is also called the natural rate of interest.

The Wicksellian interest rate

- Output is affected by expected current and future one-period real interest rates. The presence of expected future output implies that the future path of the one-period real rate matters for current demand.
 - ► To see that this is the case, let $r_t \equiv i_t E_t \pi_{t+1}$ be the one-period real interest rate and then recursively solve the Euler condition forward to yield (assume C = Y)

$$x_t = -\frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}_t \left(r_{t+i} - r_{t+i}^n \right).$$

Changes in the one-period rate that are persistent, so that they also influence expectations of future interest rates, will have stronger effects on x_t then more temporary changes in r.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Other channels of monetary transmission

The role of money

- So far, monetary policy only works via the Wicksellian interest rate gap.
- No direct role for money.
- Direct effects of the quantity of money: if utility is not separable, then changes in the real quantity of money would alter the marginal utility of consumption. The absence of money constitutes a special case.
 - ► The real money stock would appear in the household's Euler condition.
 - To replace real marginal cost with a measure of the output gap in the inflation equation, the real wage was equated to the marginal rate of substitution between leisure and consumption, and this will involve real money balances.

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Other channels of monetary transmission The role of money

- However, McCallum and Nelson (2000) and Woodford (2003) have both argued that the effects arising with nonseparable utility are quite small, so that little is lost by assuming separability.
- Empirical evidence: Ireland (2001).

Adding lagged inflation

• To capture the inflation persistence found in the data, it is common to augment the basic forward-looking inflation adjustment equation with the addition of lagged inflation:

$$\pi_t = (1 - \phi)\beta E_t \pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_t + \phi \pi_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t.$$
(1)

- In this formulation, the parameter φ is often described as a measure of the degree of backward-looking price setting behavior.
 - ► Fuhrer (1997) finds little role for future inflation once lagged inflation is added to the inflation adjustment equation.
 - Rudebusch (2000) estimates (1) using U. S. data and argues that φ is on the order of 0.7, suggesting that inflation is predominantly backward-looking.

イロン イ団と イヨン イヨン

Indexation

- Christiano, Eichenbaum, and Evans (2001) make a distinction between firms that reoptimize it setting their price and those that do not:
 - each period a fraction 1ω of all firms optimally set their price;
 - ▶ the remaining firms either simply adjust their price based on the average rate of inflation, so that $p_{jt} = \bar{\pi}p_{jt-1}$ where $\bar{\pi}$ is the average inflation rate, or they adjust based on the most recently observed rate of inflation, so that $p_{jt} = \pi_{t-1}p_{jt-1}$.
- Costly to optimize

- 4 同下 4 国下 - 4 国下 -

Indexation and decision lag

• This specification results in an inflation adjustment equation of the form

$$\pi_t = \left(\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}\right) \mathbf{E}_t \pi_{t+1} + \left(\frac{1}{1+\beta}\right) \pi_{t-1} + \tilde{\kappa} \hat{\varphi}_t.$$

The presence of lagged inflation in this equation introduces inertia into the inflation process.

• CEE also assume prices set before time t information is available:

$$\pi_t = \left(\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}\right) \mathbf{E}_{t-1} \pi_{t+1} + \left(\frac{1}{1+\beta}\right) \pi_{t-1} + \tilde{\kappa} \mathbf{E}_{t-1} \hat{\varphi}_t.$$

Structural estimates of the frequency of adjusting

- Estimates of new Keynesian Phillips curve yield values of ω that too high.
- Estimates range from 0.758 to 0.911 (Dennis 2006)
- Value of 0.8 implies prices adjusted on average every $(1 0.8)^{-1} = 5$ quarters.
- Micro evidence for U.S. suggests duration between price changes closer to 2 quarters, implying $\omega = 0.5$.

- Empirically, inflation does not seem to respond strongly to the output gap: κ is small.
- In basic theory,

$$\kappa = (\eta + \sigma) \frac{(1 - \omega) \left[1 - \beta \omega\right]}{\omega}$$

where $1 - \omega$ is the fraction of adjusting firms, σ is the coefficient of relative risk aversion, and η is the (inverse) of the wage elasticity of labor supply.

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

So κ small if

- ω large high degree of price rigidity (estimates often imply unrealistic values around 0.8)
- σ small very little risk aversion
- η is small high degree of labor supply elasticity.

イヨト イヨト

- Researchers have extended basic model to make marginal cost less sensitive to output.
- Christiano, Eichenbaum, and Evans (2001) variable capital utilization
- Basic idea:
 - In standard model, increase in demand can only increase production if real wage rises to induce an increase in labor supply. If wage elasticity of labor supply is small, the real wage has to rise a lot. This boosts real marginal cost and inflation.
 - If output can increase by utilizing capital more intensely, wages and marginal cost will rise less.

(人間) トイヨト イヨト

Firm-specific capital

- Generates decreasing returns to labor;
- Marginal cost varies across firms.;
- Marginal cost is increasing in firm's output;
- Elasticity of marginal cost to output depends on short-run returns to scale in variable factor.

イヨト イヨト

Firm-specific capital

Intuition

- ▶ if aggregate real wages rise, firms that adjust price raise their price;
- but rise in price lowers output at firm;
- with fixed capital, marginal cost falls as output declines;
- this dampens amount firm will raise price.
- If capital at firm is costly to adjust, firm only slowly adjusts its capital.
- Eichenbaum and Fisher find they get estimates of ω around one half
 more plausible.

- - E - - - E - -

The trouble with Euler conditions

- Euler condition is purely forward looking same problems arise as with inflation equation.
- Output is discounted value of future interest rate gaps:

$$x_t = -\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right) E_t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(r_{t+i} - r_{t+i}^n\right).$$

* E > < E >

Habit persistence

- To match the hump shaped response of output seen in the data, habit persistence has become a standard component of new Keynesian models (Fuhrer 2000, Christiano, Eichenbaum, and Evans 2001).
- External Habit persistence: Marginal utility of current consumption depends on past aggregate consumption.
- Internal Habit Persistence: Marginal utility of current consumption depends on household's past consumption.

通 ト イヨ ト イヨト

General equilibrium, estimated models

- Christiano, Eichenbaum, and Evans (2001)
- Smets and Wouters (2003)
- Levin, Onatski, Williams, and Williams (2005)
 - Components:
 - ★ Habit persistence
 - ★ Variable capital utilization
 - ★ Investment with 2nd-order adjustment costs
 - Price adjustment at start of period (based on expectations information delay)
 - ★ Wage and price stickiness

Conclusions

- Basic model fairs poorly when faced with data too forward-looking;
- Habit persistence, variable capital utilization, firm specific capital, sticky wages all help.
- Models fit data, but decomposition into flexible-price and gap may miss major historical episodes.

A B > A B >