Money in an RBC framework

Noah Williams

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Noah Williams (UW Madison)

Macroeconomic Theory

伺い イヨト イヨト

Money

- Two basic questions:
 - Modern economies use money. Why?
 - e How/why do changes in the amount of money affect nominal and real variables in the economy?
- Uses/functions of money: (1) Unit of account: contracts are usually denominated in terms of money.
- (2) Store of value: money allows consumers to trade current goods for future goods.
- (3) Medium of exchange: facilitates transactions.

A B + A B +

Money in Exchange

- Non-monetary transactions require barter: one good or service is exchanged directly for another.
- Requires a *double coincidence of wants:* each party must want what other has.
- Other objects, like stocks and bonds, can be store of value and medium of exchange. Can dominate as store of value since they give a positive rate of return.
- But stocks and bonds are not efficient in exchange:
 - Agents not well-informed about the exact value of stocks.It is not always easy to sell these assets. (Liquidity)
- Money very efficient in exchange. Facilitates specialization.
- In absence of regular money, other objects appear as media of exchange (cigarettes in POW camps).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Types of money



Cowry shells



Ancient Greek coins, ca 700 BC



Stone money of the island of Yap

э

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

M1 and Its Currency Component



Noah Williams (UW Madison)

Macroeconomic Theory

Federal Reserve Balance Sheet



Incorporating money

- To employ the neoclassical framework to analyze monetary issues, a role for money must be specified so that the agents will wish to hold positive quantities of money. **Money dominated as an asset.**
- Three general approaches to incorporating money into general equilibrium models have been followed:
 - Assume that money yields direct utility by incorporating money balances directly into the utility functions of the agents of the model (Sidrauski 1967).
 - Impose transactions costs
 - Make asset exchanges costly (Baumol 1952, Tobin 1956)
 - Require that money be used for certain types of transactions (Clower 1967)
 - Assume time and money can be combined to produce transaction services that are necessary for obtaining consumption goods
 - Assume direct barter of commodities is costly (Kiyotaki and Wright 1989).
 - Treat money like any other asset used to transfer resources intertemporally (Samuelson 1958).

Noah Williams (UW Madison)

3

First approach: MIU Sidrauski (1967)

- Real money balances enter directly in the utility function.
- Given suitable restrictions on the utility function, such an approach can guarantee that, in equilibrium, agents choose to hold positive amounts of money so that money will be positively valued.
- Representative household takes prices as given and maximizes

$$\mathbf{E}_{t}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\beta^{i}U\left(C_{t+i},m_{t+i},L_{t+i}\right)$$

subject to a budget constraint.

4 1 1 4 1 1 4

• Budget constraint, in nominal terms:

$$\frac{W_t(1-L_t) + (r_t+1-\delta) P_t K_{t-1}}{+(1+i_{t-1})B_{t-1} + M_{t-1} + P_t \tau_t} = P_t C_t + P_t K_t + B_t + M_t$$

where τ are real, lump-sum transfers to the household (can be negative).

In real terms:

$$\frac{w_t(1-L_t) + (r_t+1-\delta) K_{t-1}}{+(1+i_{t-1})\frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t} + \frac{M_{t-1}}{P_t} + \tau_t} = C_t + K_t + \frac{B_t}{P_t} + \frac{M_t}{P_t}$$

or

$$\frac{w_t(1-L_t) + (r_t+1-\delta) K_{t-1}}{+(1+i_{t-1})\frac{P_{t-1}}{P_t} b_{t-1} + \frac{P_{t-1}}{P_t} m_{t-1} + \tau_t} = C_t + K_t + b_t + m_t$$

э

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

• First order conditions:

$$U_{C} - \lambda_{t} = 0$$
$$U_{L} - w_{t}\lambda_{t} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{U_{L}}{U_{C}} = w_{t}$$
$$-\lambda_{t} + \beta E_{t} (r_{t+1} + 1 - \delta) \lambda_{t+1} = 0$$
$$-\lambda_{t} + \beta (1 + i_{t}) E_{t} \left(\frac{P_{t}}{P_{t+1}}\right) \lambda_{t+1} = 0$$
$$U_{m} - \lambda_{t} + \beta E_{t} \left(\frac{P_{t}}{P_{t+1}}\right) \lambda_{t+1} = 0$$

• First three look just as before (except U_C and U_L may depend on m).

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

• The FOC for money can be written

$$\frac{\lambda_t}{P_t} = \frac{U_m}{P_t} + \beta \mathbf{E}_t \left(\frac{\lambda_{t+1}}{P_{t+1}}\right)$$

• Solve forward to yield

$$\frac{1}{P_{t}} = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{t}}\right) E_{t} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta^{i} \left[\frac{U_{m}\left(C_{t+i}, m_{t+i}, L_{t+i}\right)}{P_{t+i}}\right]$$

• Value of money equals expected present value of discounted utility return.

* E > < E >

• Combining the first and third conditions yields the Euler condition:

$$U_{C}(C_{t}, m_{t}, L_{t}) = \beta E_{t} (r_{t+1} + 1 - \delta) U_{C}(C_{t+1}, m_{t+1}, L_{t+1})$$

• Similarly,

$$U_{C}(C_{t}, m_{t}, L_{t}) = \beta(1+i_{t}) \mathbb{E}_{t} \left(\frac{P_{t}}{P_{t+1}}\right) U_{C}(C_{t+1}, m_{t+1}, L_{t+1})$$

• From fourth and fifth,

$$\frac{U_m}{U_C} = \beta i_t \mathbf{E}_t \left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}}\right) \frac{U_C(C_{t+1}, m_{t+1}, L_{t+1})}{U_C(C_t, m_t, L_t)}$$

But

$$1 = \beta(1+i_t) \mathbf{E}_t \left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}}\right) \frac{U_C(C_{t+1}, m_{t+1}, L_{t+1})}{U_C(C_t, m_t, L_t)}$$

SO

$$\frac{U_m}{U_C} = \beta i_t E_t \left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}}\right) \frac{U_C(C_{t+1}, m_{t+1}, L_{t+1})}{U_C(C_t, m_t, L_t)} = \frac{i_t}{1+i_t}.$$

- Firm side of model is same as in basic RBC model.
- Collecting equilibrium conditions
 - Given an exogenous process for the productivity shock and the nominal stock of money, equilibrium consists of time paths for output, real money balances, consumption, capital, employment, wages, the nominal rate of interest, the price level, and rental rates that satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{U_L(C_t, m_t, 1 - N_t)}{U_C(C_t, m_t, 1 - N_t)} &= w_t \\ \frac{U_m}{U_C} &= \frac{i_t}{1 + i_t}. \\ U_C(C_t, m_t, 1 - N_t) &= \beta E_t \left(r_{t+1} + 1 - \delta \right) U_C(C_{t+1}, m_{t+1}, 1 - N_{t+1}) \\ U_C(C_t, m_t, L_t) &= \beta (1 + i_t) E_t \left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \right) U_C(C_{t+1}, m_{t+1}, L_{t+1}) \\ Y_t &= F(N_t, K_{t-1}, Z_t) \\ F_N(N_t, K_{t-1}, Z_t) &= w_t \\ F_K(N_t, K_{t-1}, Z_t) &= r_t \\ Y_t &= C_t + K_t - (1 - \delta) K_{t-1} \\ P_t m_t &= M_t \end{aligned}$$

æ

・ロト ・聞と ・ヨト ・ヨト

Steady-state

• Standard RBC relationships plus

$$1 = \beta \left(\frac{1+i}{1+\pi}\right)$$
$$\frac{U_m(C, m, 1-N)}{U_C(C, m, 1-N)} = \frac{i}{1+i} = 1 - \frac{\beta}{1+\pi}$$
$$P_t = \frac{M_0(1+\pi)^t}{m}$$

• These imply the Fisher equation $1+i=(r+1-\delta)\left(1+\pi
ight)$ or

$$i \approx r + \pi$$

3

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Superneutrality

- If U_L and U_C independent of m, real side identical to basic RBC model. (Steady state and dynamics)
 - Neutrality: One time changes in the nominal quantity of money affect only the price level.
 - Superneutrality: Changes in growth rate of money do not affect real variables.
- Changes in the rate of growth of money affect only the inflation rate, the nominal interest rate, and real money balances.
 - ▶ If *F* displays constant returns to scale and U_L and U_C depend on *m*, still get steady-state capital-labor ratio independence of money growth.

イロン イ団と イヨン イヨン

Money in the Long Run

- Reported by McCandless and Weber (1995), Lucas (1996)
- There is a high (almost unity) correlation between the rate of growth of monetary supply and the rate of inflation.
- There is no correlation between the growth rates of money and real output.
- There in no correlation between inflation and real output.
- These are consistent with monetary neutrality.

A B K A B K

Money Growth and Inflation: A High, Positive Correlation

Average Annual Rates of Growth in M2 and in Consumer Prices During 1960–90 in 110 Countries



Money and Real Output Growth: No Correlation in the Full Sample . . .

Average Annual Rates of Growth in M2 and in Nominal Gross Domestic Product, Deflated by Consumer Prices During 1960–90 in 110 Countries



Inflation and Real Output Growth: No Correlation

Average Annual Rates of Growth in Consumer Prices and in Nominal Gross Domestic Product, Deflated by Consumer Prices During 1960–90 in 110 Countries



Welfare cost of inflation

- Because money holdings yield direct utility and higher inflation reduces real money balances, inflation generates a welfare loss.
- Questions:
 - How large is the welfare cost of inflation?
 - Is there an optimal rate of inflation that maximizes the steady-state welfare of the representative household?

* E > < E >

Welfare cost of inflation

- The optimal rate of inflation addressed by Bailey (1956) and M. Friedman (1969).
 - Basic intuition: the private opportunity cost of holding money depends on the nominal rate of interest. The social marginal cost of producing money is essentially zero.
 - The wedge that arises between the private marginal cost and the social marginal cost when the nominal rate of interest is positive generates an inefficiency.
 - This inefficiency would be eliminated if the nominal rate of interest were zero.
 - ► So the optimal rate of inflation is a rate of *deflation* approximately equal to the real return on capital.
- In the steady state, real money balances are directly related to the inflation rate, so the optimal rate of inflation is also frequently discussed under the heading of the *optimal quantity of money*.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Welfare cost of inflation

- The major criticism of this result is that of Phelps (1973), who pointed out that money growth generates revenue for the government-the inflation tax.
- The implicit assumption so far has been that variations in money growth are engineered via lump-sum transfers.
- Any effects on government revenue can be offset by a suitable adjustment in these lump-sum transfers (taxes).
- But if governments only have distortionary taxes available for financing expenditures, then reducing inflation tax revenues to achieve the Friedman rule for optimal inflation requires that the lost revenue be replaced through increases in other distortionary taxes.
- To minimize the total distortions associated with raising a given amount of revenue, it may be optimal to rely to some degree on the inflation tax.
- More recent work has reexamined these results (see Chari, Christiano, and Kehoe 1991, 1996, Correia and Teles 1996, 1999, Mulligan and Sala-i-Martin 1997).

- Clower (1967), goods buy money and money buys goods, but goods don't buy goods.
- And because goods don't buy goods, a medium of exchange that serves to aid the process of transacting will have value.
- The demand for money is then determined by the nature of the economy's transactions technology.
- Rather than allowing substitutability between time and money in carrying out transactions, *cash-in-advance* (CIA) models simply require that money balances be held to finance certain types of purchases; without money, these purchases cannot be made. CIA models.

Household Problem: Timing

- At the start of the period, the household has three assets: Money M_{t-1}, nominal bonds B_{t-1}, and capital K_{t-1}.
- Household consists of two members, a worker and a shopper.
- Worker supplies labor to firm, earns wage.
- Shopper takes M_{t-1} to store and purchases consumption goods
- At the **end of the period** household members pool resources, rebalance portfolio of assets.

Alternative Timing

- Timing assumptions also are important in CIA models.
- In Lucas (1982), agents are able to allocate their portfolio between cash and other assets at the start of each period, after observing any current shocks but prior to purchasing goods.
 - The asset market opens first and then the goods market opens.
 - If there is a positive opportunity cost of holding money and the asset market opens first, agents will only hold an amount of money that is just sufficient to finance their desired level of consumption.
- In Svensson (1985), the goods market opens first.
 - This implies that agents have available for spending only the cash carried over from the previous period, and so cash balances must be chosen before agents know how much spending they will wish to undertake.
 - If uncertainty is resolved after money balances are chosen, an agent may find that she is holding cash balances that are too low to finance her desired spending level. Or she may be left with more cash than she needs, thereby forgoing interest income.

The representative agent

- Maximizes present discounted value of expected utility subject to a sequence of new constraints.
- If the goods markets open first and the agent enters the period with money holdings M_{t-1} and receives a lump-sum transfer T_t (in nominal terms), the CIA constraint takes the form

$$P_t c_t \leq M_{t-1} + T_t,$$

where c is real consumption, P is the aggregate price level, and T is the nominal lump-sum transfer.

In real terms,

$$c_t \leq \frac{M_{t-1}}{P_t} + \frac{T_t}{P_t} = \frac{m_{t-1}}{\Pi_t} + \tau_t,$$

where $m_{t-1} = M_{t-1}/P_{t-1}$, $\Pi_t = P_t/P_{t-1}$ is 1 plus the inflation rate, and $\tau_t = T_t/P_t$.

 Income from production during period t will not be available for consumption purchases during period t.

• Budget constraint in real terms, $\omega_t \geq c_t + m_t + b_t + k_t$ where

$$\omega_t \equiv f(k_{t-1}) + (1-\delta)k_{t-1} + \tau_t + \frac{m_{t-1} + (1+i_{t-1})b_{t-1}}{\Pi_t},$$

and *m* and *b* are real cash and bond holdings.

• Let $a_t = b_t + m_t$. Then budget constraint implies

$$\omega_{t+1} = f(k_t) + (1-\delta)k_t + \tau_{t+1} + R_t a_t - \left(\frac{i_t}{\prod_{t+1}}\right)m_t.$$

- This form highlights that there is a cost to holding money when the nominal interest rate is positive. This cost is (1 + i_t)/Π_{t+1}.
- This is the same expression for the opportunity cost of money in an MIU model.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The decision problem

• Define the value function

$$V(\omega_t, m_{t-1}) = \max\{u(c_t) + \beta V(\omega_{t+1}, m_t)\},\$$

where the maximization is subject to the budget constraint

$$\omega_t \geq c_t + m_t + b_t + k_t,$$

the CIA constraint, and the definition of ω_{t+1} .

A B + A B +

The decision problem

• Letting λ_t (μ_t) denote the Lagrangian multiplier associated with the budget constraint (the CIA constraint), the first order necessary conditions for the agent's choice of consumption, capital, bond, and money holdings take the form

$$u_{c}(c_{t}) - \lambda_{t} - \mu_{t} = 0$$

$$\beta \left[f_{k}(k_{t}) + 1 - \delta \right] V_{\omega}(\omega_{t+1}, m_{t}) - \lambda_{t} = 0$$

$$\beta R_{t} V_{\omega}(\omega_{t+1}, m_{t}) - \lambda_{t} = 0$$

$$\beta \left[R_{t} - \frac{i_{t}}{\Pi_{t+1}} \right] V_{\omega}(\omega_{t+1}, m_{t}) + \beta V_{m}(\omega_{t+1}, m_{t}) - \lambda_{t} = 0.$$

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

From the envelope theorem,

$$V_{\omega}(\omega_t, m_{t-1}) = \lambda_t$$
$$V_m(\omega_t, m_{t-1}) = \left(\frac{1}{\Pi_t}\right) \mu_t.$$

- λ_t is equal to the marginal utility of wealth.
- The marginal utility of consumption exceeds the marginal utility of wealth by the value of liquidity services, μ_t.
- The individual must hold money in order to purchase consumption, so the "cost," to which the marginal utility of consumption is set equal, is the marginal utility of wealth plus the cost of the liquidity services needed to finance the transaction.

The first order conditions imply

$$\lambda_t = \beta\left(\frac{\lambda_{t+1} + \mu_{t+1}}{\Pi_{t+1}}\right) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{P_t} = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_t}\right) \beta\left(\frac{\lambda_{t+1} + \mu_{t+1}}{P_{t+1}}\right).$$

- This equation can also be interpreted as an asset pricing equation for money.
- The price of a unit of money in terms of goods is just 1/P_t at time t; its value in utility terms is λ_t/P_t.
- Solving this equation forward implies that

$$\frac{1}{P_t} = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_t}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta^i \left(\frac{\mu_{t+i}}{P_{t+i}}\right).$$

• Value of money related to present discounted value of Lagrangian multipliers on CIA constraint.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The nominal rate of interest

• Since $\lambda_t = \beta R_t \lambda_{t+1} = \beta \left(\lambda_{t+1} + \mu_{t+1} \right) / \prod_{t+1}$, or $R_t \prod_{t+1} \lambda_{t+1} = \left(\lambda_{t+1} + \mu_{t+1} \right)$ and $1 + i_t = R_t \prod_{t+1}$, the nominal interest rate is given by

$$i_t = \left(\frac{\lambda_{t+1} + \mu_{t+1}}{\lambda_{t+1}}\right) - 1 = \frac{\mu_{t+1}}{\lambda_{t+1}}$$

- The nominal rate of interest is positive if and only if money yields liquidity services ($\mu_{t+1} > 0$).
- In particular, if the nominal interest rate is positive, the CIA constraint is binding (μ > 0).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Marginal utility of consumption

- We can use the relationship between the nominal rate of interest and the Lagrangian multipliers to rewrite the expression for the marginal utility of consumption as u_c = λ(1 + μ/λ) = λ(1 + i) ≥ λ.
- Since λ represents the marginal value of income, the marginal utility of consumption exceeds that of income whenever the nominal interest rate is positive.
- Even though the economy's technology allows output to be directly transformed into consumption, the "price" of consumption is not equal to 1; it is 1 + i since the household must hold money to finance consumption.
- Thus, in this CIA model, a positive nominal interest rate acts as a tax on consumption; it raises the price of consumption above its production cost.

(本語)と 本語(と) 本語(と

Modifications

- Cash and Credit Goods
 - Lucas and Stokey (1983, 1987) introduced the idea that the CIA constraint may only apply to a subset of consumption goods. The marginal utility of cash goods will be equated to λ + μ ≥ λ, while the marginal utility of credit goods will be equated to λ.
 - ► Hence, the CIA requirement for cash goods drives a wedge between the marginal utilities of the two types of goods.
 - It is exactly as if the consumer faces a tax of μ/λ = i on purchases of the cash good. Higher inflation, by reducing holdings of real cash balances, serves to raise the tax on cash goods and generates a substitution away from the cash good and toward the credit good.
- CIA and Investment Goods
 - The inflation tax applies to both consumption and investment goods. Higher rates of inflation will tend to discourage capital accumulation lower the steady-state capital-labor ratio.

Welfare costs of inflation

- In CIA models, inflation acts as a tax on goods or activities whose purchase requires cash.
- This tax then introduces a distortion by creating a wedge between the marginal rates of transformation implied by the economy's technology and the marginal rates of substitution faced by consumers.
- Since the CIA model, like the MIU model, offers no reason for such a distortion to be introduced (there is no inefficiency that calls for Pigovian taxes or subsidies on particular activities, and the government's revenue needs can be met through lump-sum taxation), optimality calls for setting the inflation tax equal to zero.
- The inflation tax is directly related to the nominal rate of interest; a zero inflation tax is achieved when the nominal rate of interest is equal to zero.

通 ト イヨ ト イヨト