Econ 301 Intermediate Microeconomics Prof. Marek Weretka

## Solutions to problem set 4

(due Tuesday, February 16th, before class)

## Problem 1

The magic formula for Cobb Douglass demands is

$$x_1 = \frac{a}{a+b}\frac{m}{p_1} = \frac{4}{5}\frac{m}{p_1}$$
 and  $x_2 = \frac{b}{a+b}\frac{m}{p_2} = \frac{1}{5}\frac{m}{p_2}$ 

a)

START  $(p_1 = 10, p_2 = 1, m = 100)$ 

$$x_1 = \frac{4}{5} \frac{m}{p_1} = 8$$
 and  $x_2 = \frac{1}{5} \frac{m}{p_2} = \frac{1}{5} \frac{100}{1} = 20$ 

END  $(p_1 = 5, p_2 = 1, m = 100)$ 

$$x_1 = \frac{4}{5} \frac{m}{p_1} = \frac{4}{5} \frac{100}{5} = 16$$
 and  $x_2 = \frac{1}{5} \frac{m}{p_1} = \frac{1}{5} \frac{100}{1} = 20$ 

Change in consumption of  $x_1$ 

$$\Delta x_1 = 16 - 8 = 8$$

b) they are ordinary goods as the demand goes up after price drop (downwarslopping demand) c) Auxiliary income

$$m' = 5 \times 8 + 1 \times 20 = 60$$

and hence the optimal demand with income m' = 60 and new prices is

$$x_1 = \frac{4}{5} \frac{m'}{p_1} = \frac{48}{5} = 9\frac{3}{5}$$

This implies that substitution effect is

$$SE = 9\frac{3}{5} - 8 = 1\frac{3}{5}$$

d) Income effect is "the rest" of the change

$$IE = \Delta x_1 - SE = 8 - 1\frac{3}{5} = 6\frac{2}{5}$$

e) Income effect is positive. This is because with Cobb-Douglass preferences goods are normal.





# Problem 2

Remark: in the solutions I will use proportion 1 milk to 5 strawberries (we will accept also also 5 strawberries to 1 milk as in PS4)

a) Two secrets of happiness (for perfect complements!) are

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \frac{1}{5}x_2\\ p_1x_1 + p_2x_2 &= m \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$x_1 = \frac{m}{p_1 + 5p_2}$$
 and  $x_2 = 5\frac{m}{p_1 + 5p_2}$ 

START  $(p_1 = 15, p_2 = 1, m = 200)$ 

$$x_1 = \frac{200}{15 + 5 \times 1} = 10$$
 and  $x_2 = \frac{5 \times 200}{15 + 5 \times 1} = 50$ 

END  $(p_1 = 5, p_2 = 1, m = 200)$ 

$$x_1 = \frac{200}{5+5\times 1} = 20$$
 and  $x_2 = \frac{5\times 200}{5+5\times 1} = 100$ 

Change in consumption of  $x_1$ 

$$\Delta x_1 = 20 - 10 = 10$$

b) Substitution effect is zero SE = 0 (with perfect complements substitution effect is always zero c) Income effect is equal to total change  $IE = \Delta x_1 = 10$ .

Problem 3

a)

$$MRS = \frac{\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}}{\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}} = \frac{5}{x_1}$$

Two secret of happiness are,  $MRS = \frac{p_1}{p_2}$  and  $p_1x_1 + p_2x_2 = m$ .

b) assuming interior solution we can use two secret of happiness to get the optimal solution directly:

$$\frac{5}{x_1} = P_1 \tag{1}$$

$$p_1 x_1 + x_2 = 10 \tag{2}$$

So optimal consumption  $x_1^* = \frac{5}{p_1}$  and  $x_2^* = 5$ c) First when  $p_1 = 5$  we have  $x_1^* = 1$  and  $x_2^* = 5$ . When  $p_1 = 1$  we have  $x_1''^* = 5$  and  $x_2''^* = 5$ .

To find the substitution effect we need to find the income level, m', such that the original (when  $p_1 = 5$ ) optimal bundle is just affordable under the new prices.

So we have m' = 1 \* 1 + 1 \* 5 = 5, under this income and the new prices level we can conclude that the optimal consumption  $x_1^{\prime *} = 5$ . (since this is affordable when m' = 5 and  $p_1 = 1$  so it is indeed our optimal choice).

Now we have all we need to find substitution effect,

$$S.E = x_1^{\prime *} - x_1^* = 5 - 1 = 4$$

d)  $I \cdot E = x_1''^* - x_1'^* = 0$  (this is generally the case with quasilinear utilities that income effect is zero.

## Problem 4

a) Let  $x_1$  be the consumption of apples and  $x_2$  be the consumption of oranges. Then we have budget constraint:  $2x_1 + 2x_2 \le 2 * 20 + 2 * 20 = 80$ 



b)  $MRS = -\frac{x_2}{x_1}$  so at the endowment point we have MRS = -1. Utility at the endowment point is given by u(20, 20) = 400. Thus the bundles that are infdifferent and hence give the same value of utility are given by  $u(x_1, x_2) = 400 = x_2 x_1$  which implies that  $x_2 = 400/x_1$ 

c) Since we have Cobb-Douglas utility function,  $u(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2$ , so the optimal consumptions are:

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{m}{p_1}, x_2 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{m}{p_2}$$

To find optimal consumption we just need to know prices and income. Given  $P_2 = 2$ ,

i when  $P_1 = 1$  we have m = 20 + 40 = 60 so  $x_1^* = \frac{1}{2} \frac{60}{1} = 30$  and  $x_2^* = \frac{1}{2} \frac{60}{2} = 15$ . 1. ii when  $P_1 = 2$  we have m = 40 + 40 = 80 so  $x_1^* = \frac{1}{2}\frac{80}{2} = 20$  and  $x_2^* = \frac{1}{2}\frac{80}{2} = 20$ . iii when  $P_1 = 3$  we have m = 60 + 40 = 100 so  $x_1^* = \frac{1}{2}\frac{100}{3} = \frac{50}{3}$  and  $x_2^* = \frac{1}{2}\frac{100}{2} = 25$ .

d) In case (i) Dave is buying apples because net demand of apple = 30 - 20 = 10 (his |MRS| at endowment is greater than price ratio). In (ii) he is neither because ND= 20-20 = 0 (his |MRS| at endowment is equal to  $ND = \frac{50}{3} - 20 = -\frac{10}{3}$  (his |MRS| at endowment is smaller price ratio). In (iii) he is selling apples because than price ratio).



#### Problem 5

Ο

The variables in the problem are

$$x_1 = R, x_2 = C, p_1 = w, p_2 = p_c, m = 24w$$

a) real wage is

b)



c) Given utility function a = b = 1 and the magic formula for  $R(=x_1)$  is given by

$$R = \frac{1}{2}\frac{m}{w} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{24w}{w} = 12$$

and for bananas

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \frac{24w}{p_c} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{24 \times 100}{5} = 240$$
$$LS = 24 - R = 12$$

and the labor supply is

d) with w = 200 the leisure and labor supply is still R = 12 and LS = 12 and consumption of bananas is C = 480. The labor supply does not change because the substitution effect (higher wage encourages to work more and buy more commodities) is offset by income effect that makes leisure more attractive.