## Job Search

Suppose the reservation wage is set so that the probability of success is $p$, and the probability of failure is $q$, with $p+q=1$. How long will it take, on average, to find an acceptable job?
$1 p$
$2 p q$
$3 p q^{2}$
$4 p q^{3}$

So if $D$ is the average duration, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
D & =p\left(1+2 q+3 q^{2}+4 q^{3}+\ldots\right) \\
q D & =p\left(\quad q+2 q^{2}+3 q^{3}+4 q^{4}+\ldots\right) \\
(1-q) D & =p\left(1+q+q^{2}+q^{3}+\ldots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
\begin{gathered}
p=1-q \\
D=1+q+q^{2}+q^{3}+\ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
q D=q+q^{2}+q^{3}+\ldots \\
(1-q) D=1
\end{gathered}
$$

aand

$$
D=\frac{1}{p}
$$

Example: Roll a pair of dice until a pair of sixes comes up. What is the average number of throws? The answer is 36. It might happen on the first try; it might take 100 tries, but on average it takes 36 tries.

## Optimal Search Example

Cost is 100 per search

| 9000 | 9500 | 10000 | 10500 | Jobs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | Frequency |
| 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | Cumulative |
| 1.25 | 2 | 5 | 10 | Expected Duration |
| 125 | 200 | 500 | 1000 | Expected Cost |
| 9500 | 9800 | 10250 | 10500 | Expected Gross |
| 9375 | 9600 | 9750 | 9500 | Expected Net |

Start with a reservation wage of 10,500 . This can't be optimal, because if 10,000 is offered, it beats the value of continuing. So try $A=10,000$. The expected value using this policy exceeds 9500 ; therefore 10,000 is the optimal choice.

Suppose the wage distribution is uniform on $[L, L+1]$. Say the acceptance wage is $L+a$.
Then the expected cost is $\frac{c}{1-a}$, and the expected wage is $L+\frac{1+a}{2}$.
So the objective is to maximize

$$
\max _{a} \frac{1+a}{2}-\frac{c}{1-a}
$$

Setting the derivative to zero gives

$$
\frac{1}{2}+\frac{c}{(1-a)^{2}}=0
$$

so

$$
(1-a)^{2}=2 c
$$

For example, if $c=8$, then $1-a=4, a=-3$, meaning that the cost is so high that the optimal policy is to accept anything.

But if $c=1 / 18$, then $1-a=\frac{1}{3}$, so $a=\frac{2}{3}$.

Note that the solution is characterized by equating the acceptance wage $L+a$ with the expected net value of continued search, using this acceptance wage, which is

$$
L+a=L+\frac{1+a}{2}-\frac{c}{1-a}
$$

This can be solved by picking a trial value.
Suppose the gap between L and H is $100 K$, and c is $2 K$. In terms of the above notation, the unit is $100 K$, and $c=.02$. Then $(1-a)^{2}=.04$ so $1-a=.2$

Then $a=\frac{4}{5}$ (i.e. $80 K$ ).
Try a $=1 / 2$ (i.e. 50 K ). Then the expected net value is $3 / 4-2 / 50=71 / 100$. So it is foolish to accept $1 / 2$, because continuing the search yields a value of 71 .

If $\mathrm{a}=71$, the expected value is $1.71 / 2-(1 / 29)(1 / 50)=1.855-.06$ approximately.
Take the distribution as uniform on $[L, H]$, with $G=H-L$. Then $2 \mathrm{cG}=(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A})^{2}$. For example, $\mathrm{c}=2$, $\mathrm{G}=100$ (measured in thousands of dollars) gives $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}=20$.

A decrease in search cost implies an increase in the acceptance wage. For example, if H = 200 then $\mathrm{A}=180$ when $\mathrm{c}=2$. But if $\mathrm{c}=1 / 2$, then $\mathrm{A}=190$.

