

Econometrics 710
Final Exam, Spring 2012
Sample Answers

1. The estimator $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is not appropriate, as the LS residuals $\hat{e} = Y - \widehat{X}\hat{\beta}$ are not appropriate estimates of the errors $e = Y - X\beta$. Instead, we want to use the residuals $\tilde{e} = Y - X\hat{\beta}$ and the estimator

$$\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \tilde{e}' \tilde{e}$$

One way to see this is to think of the just-identified case, and write the moment conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(z_i (y_i - x_i' \beta)) &= 0 \\ \mathbb{E}\left((y_i - x_i' \beta)^2 - \sigma^2\right) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then the moment estimator of the parameters (β, σ^2) are the solutions to the two empirical analogs. The solution to the first equation is $\hat{\beta}$, and the solution to the second equation is $\tilde{\sigma}^2$, not $\hat{\sigma}^2$.

Another way to see this is write out the estimators. Suppose for extreme simplicity there was no estimation error, so that $\hat{\beta} = \beta$ and $\hat{\Gamma} = \Gamma$. Then if we write the reduced form as $X = Z\Gamma + u$, then $\widehat{X} = Z\hat{\Gamma} = X - u$, and

$$\hat{e} = Y - \widehat{X}\hat{\beta} = e + X\beta - (X - u)\beta = e + u\beta \neq e$$

and $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \hat{e}' \hat{e}$ is clearly estimating $\text{var}(e_i + u_i' \beta) \neq \text{var}(e_i)$.

2.

- (a) The GMM/IV/2SLS estimators for β_1 and β_2 are

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_1 &= (Z_1' X_1)^{-1} (Z_1' Y_1) \\ \hat{\beta}_2 &= (Z_2' X_2)^{-1} (Z_2' Y_2) \end{aligned}$$

with asymptotic distributions

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1) &\xrightarrow{d} N(0, V_1) \\ \sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2) &\xrightarrow{d} N(0, V_2) \end{aligned}$$

where the two normal distributions are independent,

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= Q_1^{-1} \Omega_1 Q_1^{-1'} \\ V_2 &= Q_2^{-1} \Omega_2 Q_2^{-1'} \\ Q_1 &= \mathbb{E}(z_{1i} x_{1i}') \\ Q_2 &= \mathbb{E}(z_{2i} x_{2i}') \\ \Omega_1 &= \mathbb{E}(z_{1i} z_{1i}' e_{1i}^2) \\ \Omega_2 &= \mathbb{E}(z_{2i} z_{2i}' e_{2i}^2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sqrt{n} \left((\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1) - (\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2) \right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V_1 + V_2)$$

A Wald-type test for $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2$ is

$$W_n = n (\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2)' (\widehat{V}_1 + \widehat{V}_2)^{-1} (\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{V}_1 &= \widehat{Q}_1^{-1} \widehat{\Omega}_1 \widehat{Q}_1^{-1'} \\
\widehat{V}_2 &= \widehat{Q}_2^{-1} \widehat{\Omega}_2 \widehat{Q}_2^{-1'} \\
\widehat{Q}_1 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_{1i} x'_{1i} \\
\widehat{Q}_2 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_{2i} x'_{2i} \\
\widehat{\Omega}_1 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_{1i} z'_{1i} \widehat{e}_{1i}^2 \\
\widehat{\Omega}_2 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_{2i} z'_{2i} \widehat{e}_{2i}^2 \\
\widehat{e}_{1i} &= y_{1i} - x'_{1i} \widehat{\beta}_1 \\
\widehat{e}_{2i} &= y_{2i} - x'_{2i} \widehat{\beta}_2
\end{aligned}$$

- (b) Since $\widehat{V}_1 \xrightarrow{p} V_1$ and $\widehat{V}_2 \xrightarrow{p} V_2$, under H_0 , $W_n \xrightarrow{d} \chi_k^2$, the chi-square distribution with k degrees of freedom.
- (c) We select a significance level α (typically 5%) and set the critical value c to be the $1 - \alpha$ quantile of the χ_k^2 distribution. We compute W_n , and reject H_0 if $W_n > c$ and do not reject H_0 if $W_n < c$. Equivalently, we compute the p-value $p_n = 1 - F_k(W_n)$, where $F_k(u)$ is the χ_k^2 distribution function, and reject if $p_n < \alpha$.

3.

- (a) The model is a linear projection. Thus the appropriate estimator for (β_1, β_2) is least-squares

$$y_i = x_{1i} \widehat{\beta}_1 + x_{2i} \widehat{\beta}_2 + \widehat{e}_i$$

The plug-in estimator for θ is

$$\widehat{\theta} = \widehat{\beta}_1 \widehat{\beta}_2$$

- (b) By standard asymptotic theory

$$\sqrt{n} (\widehat{\beta} - \beta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V)$$

where $V = Q^{-1} \Omega Q^{-1}$ in the standard notation. By the delta method

$$\sqrt{n} (\widehat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V_\theta)$$

where $V_\theta = h' V h$ and

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_1} \beta_1 \beta_2 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_2} \beta_1 \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_2 \\ \beta_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is important that you calculate what h is! You can also write $V_\theta = \beta_2^2 V_{11} + 2\beta_1 \beta_2 V_{21} + \beta_1^2 V_{22}$ after partitioning V .

- (c) $C_{asy} = \widehat{\theta} \pm 2s(\widehat{\theta})$ where $s(\widehat{\theta}) = \sqrt{n^{-1} \widehat{h}' \widehat{V} \widehat{h}}$, with \widehat{V} the standard estimator for V (write it out) and $\widehat{h}' = (\widehat{\beta}_2 \quad \widehat{\beta}_1)'$.
- (d) The percentile bootstrap method:

- i. Draw n iid observations $(y_i^*, x_{1i}^*, x_{2i}^*)$ randomly with replacement from the original sample $\{y_i, x_{1i}, x_{2i}\}$
 - ii. Compute $\widehat{\beta}_1^*$ and $\widehat{\beta}_2^*$ by least-squares regression of y_i^* on (x_{1i}^*, x_{2i}^*) . Set $\widehat{\theta}^* = \widehat{\beta}_1^* \widehat{\beta}_2^*$
 - iii. Repeat this B times, where B is a large number ($B \geq 1000$). Let $\widehat{\theta}_b^*$, $b = 1, \dots, B$ be the results of the B simulations
 - iv. Compute the $\alpha/2$ and $1 - \alpha/2$ empirical quantiles of the $\widehat{\theta}_b^*$, say $\widehat{q}_{\alpha/2}$ and $\widehat{q}_{1-\alpha/2}$
 - v. The bootstrap percentile interval is $C_{boot} = [\widehat{q}_{\alpha/2}, \widehat{q}_{1-\alpha/2}]$
4. There are a number of approaches. You can estimate the reduced form by nonparametric series method, either a power series or a spline. The number of terms can be determined by cross-validation on the reduced form. Then the predicted value \widehat{x}_i can be used as an instrument to yield $\widehat{\beta} = (\sum_i \widehat{x}_i x_i)^{-1} \sum_i \widehat{x}_i y_i$. Or, once the series terms for the reduced form have been determined, β can be estimated by GMM. Alternatively, you could use a kernel regression estimator for g . The bandwidth could be selected by cross-validation on the reduced form. Then the predicted value \widehat{x}_i could be computed from the kernel estimator and used as an instrument. For either IV approach (series or kernel) the predicted value could be computed using a leave-one-out estimator, yielding a JIVE (jackknife instrumental variables estimator).

An answer should carefully explain the estimator you recommend, and all steps.