

Econometrics 709

Problem Set 7

Fall 2017

Due: Monday, October 23

1. Take the Pareto model $f(x) = \alpha x^{-1-\alpha}$ for $x \geq 1$. Compute the MLE $\hat{\alpha}$ using Newton optimization
 - (a) Find the log-likelihood, gradient (first derivative) and Hessian (second derivative)
 - (b) Find the Newton updating rule α_i
 - (c) Using the initial value $\alpha_1 = 1$ and supposing $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i) = 1/2$ calculate the iterations α_2 , α_3 and α_4
2. Take the Bernoulli model $P(X = 1) = p$ and $P(X = 0) = 1 - p$.
 - (a) Calculate the information for p by taking the variance of the score
 - (b) Calculate the information for p by taking the expectation of the second derivative. Did you obtain the same answer?
3. Take the Pareto model. Calculate the information for α using the second derivative rule.
4. Find the Cramer-Rao lower bound for p in the Bernoulli model. Compare this to the variance of the MLE for p . (You calculated this in Problem Set #6, problem 4(c).)
5. Take the Pareto model. Recall the MLE $\hat{\alpha}$ for α from Problem Set #6, problem 9(b).
 - (a) Show that $\hat{\alpha} \rightarrow_p \alpha$ by using the WLLN and continuous mapping theorem.
6. Take the model $f(x) = \theta \exp(-\theta x)$
 - (a) Find the Cramer-Rao lower bound for θ
 - (b) Recall the MLE $\hat{\theta}$ for θ (from Problem Set #6, problem 5(c)).
 - (c) Find the asymptotic distribution for $\hat{\theta}$ using the delta method applied to the sample mean.
 - (d) Find the asymptotic distribution for $\hat{\theta}$ using the asymptotic distribution for the MLE. Do you find the same answer as in part (c)?
7. Take the Gamma model

$$f(x | \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\beta}$$

Assume β is known, so the only parameter to estimate is α . Let $g(\alpha) = \log \Gamma(\alpha)$. Write your answers in terms of the derivatives $g'(\alpha)$ and $g''(\alpha)$.

- (a) Calculate the information for α .
 - (b) Using the general formula for the asymptotic distribution of the MLE, find the asymptotic distribution of $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)$, where $\hat{\alpha}$ is the MLE.
 - (c) Letting V denote the asymptotic variance from part (c), propose an estimator \hat{V} for V .
8. In the Bernoulli model, you found the asymptotic distribution of the MLE in Problem Set #6, part (d)
- (a) Propose an estimator of V , the asymptotic variance
 - (b) Show that this estimator is consistent for V as $n \rightarrow \infty$
 - (c) Propose a standard error $s(\hat{p})$ for the MLE \hat{p}
9. Take the model $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Propose a test for $H_0 : \mu = 1$ against $H_1 : \mu \neq 1$
10. Take the Bernoulli model. Consider testing for $H_0 : p = 1/2$ against $H_1 : p \neq 1/2$
- (a) First, consider testing based on the t-statistic $(\hat{p} - p)/s(\hat{p})$ where $s(\hat{p})$ is from question 8 (and $p = 1/2$). Describe the test
 - (b) Second, consider testing based on the statistic $(\hat{p} - p)/s(p)$ where $s(p)$ is the standard error formula, but evaluated at $p = 1/2$ rather than at the MLE. Describe this test. What is the difference with part (a)?
11. Take the model $X \sim N(\mu, 1)$. Consider testing $H_0 : \mu = 0$ against $H_1 : \mu > 0$
- (a) Calculate the general log-likelihood function $\ell_n(\mu)$ and at the null hypothesis $\ell_n(0)$
 - (b) Calculate the likelihood ratio statistic $LR_n(\mu_1)$ for $H_0 : \mu = 0$ against the simple alternative $H_1 : \mu = \mu_1$ for μ_1 known.
 - (c) Show that the LR test in part (b) (Reject H_0 if $LR_n(\mu_1) > c$ for some c) is the same as “Reject H_0 if $\bar{X}_n > k$ for some k ”
 - (d) Find k for part (c) such that the test has size α . Does this k depend on μ_1 ?
 - (e) The Neyman-Pearson Lemma shows that the LR test is the most powerful test for simple hypotheses. Conclude that the test “Reject H_0 if $\bar{X}_n > k$ for k from part (d)” is the most powerful test against $H_1 : \mu = \mu_1$ for μ_1 known.
 - (f) Since the test “Reject H_0 if $\bar{X}_n > k$ for k from part (d)” does not depend on μ_1 , conclude that this test is the most powerful test of $H_0 : \mu = 0$ against $H_1 : \mu > 0$, even though the alternative is not simple.