

First Midterm Exam

- Thursday Feb 20 in class
 - Humanities 1101
- Gonzalez-Rivera, Chapters 1-4, 10.1
- Review book, lectures, problem sets
- Calculators allowed
- Mix of conceptual, interpretive, and computational problems. No questions from Silver's book or Stata code.

MA(1) Process

- The **first-order moving average** process, or **MA(1)** process, is

$$y_t = e_t + \theta e_{t-1}$$

where e_t is $WN(0, \sigma^2)$

Lag Operator Notation

- Remember the lag operator L

$$Ly_t = y_{t-1}$$

- We can write the MA(1) as

$$\begin{aligned}y_t &= e_t + \theta e_{t-1} \\ &= e_t + \theta L e_t \\ &= (1 + \theta L) e_t\end{aligned}$$

or

$$y_t = \theta(L) e_t$$

where $\theta(L) = 1 + \theta L$ is a function of the lag operator.

Inversion of an MA(1)

- We can write an MA(1) in terms of lagged y_t

$$y_t = e_t + \theta e_{t-1}$$

- Rewrite as

$$e_t = y_t - \theta e_{t-1}$$

- Then lag this equation one period

$$e_{t-1} = y_{t-1} - \theta e_{t-2}$$

- Then combine

$$\begin{aligned} e_t &= y_t - \theta e_{t-1} \\ &= y_t - \theta(y_{t-1} - \theta e_{t-2}) \\ &= y_t - \theta y_{t-1} + \theta^2 e_{t-2} \end{aligned}$$

Inversion, Continued

- Do this again

$$e_{t-2} = y_{t-2} - \theta e_{t-3}$$

$$e_t = y_t - \theta y_{t-1} + \theta^2 e_{t-2}$$

$$= y_t - \theta y_{t-1} + \theta^2 (y_{t-2} - \theta e_{t-3})$$

$$= y_t - \theta y_{t-1} + \theta^2 y_{t-2} - \theta^3 e_{t-3}$$

- Repeat to infinity $e_t = y_t - \theta y_{t-1} + \theta^2 y_{t-2} - \theta^3 y_{t-3} + \dots$

- Then

$$y_t = \theta y_{t-1} - \theta^2 y_{t-2} + \theta^3 y_{t-3} + \dots + e_t$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-\theta)^i y_{t-i} + e_t$$

Existence of Inverse

- This series converges (and the inversion exists) if $|\theta| < 1$.

- Recall the lag operator expression

$$y_t = (1 + \theta L)e_t$$

- We can write this as

$$(1 + \theta L)^{-1} y_t = e_t$$

- This inversion is valid if $|\theta| < 1$

Inversion of Lag Polynomial

- What does this mean? $(1 + \theta L)^{-1} y_t = e_t$
- By taking a power series expansion (from calculus)

$$(1 + \theta L)^{-1} = 1 - \theta L + \theta^2 L^2 - \theta^3 L^3 + \dots$$

- This expansion converges if $|\theta| < 1$
- Applying this expression

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \theta L)^{-1} y_t &= (1 - \theta L + \theta^2 L^2 - \theta^3 L^3 + \dots) y_t \\ &= y_t - \theta y_{t-1} + \theta^2 y_{t-2} - \theta^3 y_{t-3} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

as needed

Optimal Forecast

- In the MA(1) model

$$y_t = e_t + \theta e_{t-1}$$

the optimal forecast is θe_{t-1} but the error is not directly observed.

- One approach is to use the autoregressive representation

$$E(y_t | \Omega_{t-1}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-\theta)^i y_{t-i}$$

- But this is cumbersome.

Recursive Forecast for MA(1)

- Another approach is to use the equation

$$e_t = y_t - \theta e_{t-1}$$

and realize that this gives a recursive formula to numerically compute the error

- Given θ , and given the initial condition $e_0=0$

$$e_1 = y_1 - \theta e_0$$

$$e_2 = y_2 - \theta e_1$$

⋮

- This gives a recursive formula to compute all the errors.
- The out-of-sample forecast is $y_{T+1|T} = \theta e_T$

MA(q) Process

- The moving average process of order q , or MA(q), is

$$y_t = e_t + \theta_1 e_{t-1} + \theta_2 e_{t-2} + \cdots + \theta_q e_{t-q}$$

where e_t is $WN(0, \sigma^2)$

- We can write the equation as

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= (1 + \theta_1 L + \theta_2 L^2 + \cdots + \theta_q L^q) e_t \\ &= \theta(L) e_t \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta(L)$ is a q 'th order polynomial in L

Autocorrelations

- The first q autocorrelations of a $MA(q)$ are non-zero, the autocorrelations above q are zero

Wold's Theorem

- If y_t is a zero-mean covariance stationary process, then it can be written as an infinite order moving average, also known as a **general linear process**

$$\begin{aligned}y_t &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta_i e_{t-i} \\ &= \theta(L)e_t\end{aligned}$$

where e_t is $WN(0, \sigma^2)$

Linear Process

$$\begin{aligned}y_t &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta_i e_{t-i} \\ &= \theta(L)e_t\end{aligned}$$

- Normalization: $\theta_0=1$
- Square summability

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta_i^2 < \infty$$

Interpretation of Wold's Theorem

- There is a best linear approximation for y_t in terms of its past values
- MA(q) may be a useful approximation

Mean and Variance

- Unconditional mean

$$E(y_t) = E\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta_i e_{t-i}\right) = 0$$


- Unconditional variance

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(y_t) &= \text{var}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta_i e_{t-i}\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta_i^2\right) \sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

Relevance of MA(q) Models

- MA(q) models help to build our understanding and intuition for serial dependence and autocorrelation
- But, not commonly used for forecasting
- To estimate in STATA, use command **arima y, arima(0,0,q)**

Quarterly Consumption Growth



MA(2) Estimates

```
. arima consumption, arima(0,0,2)
```

```
(setting optimization to BHHH)
```

```
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -669.82978
```

```
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -656.28924
```

```
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -653.50008
```

```
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -653.1981
```

```
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -653.08655
```

```
(switching optimization to BFGS)
```

```
Iteration 5: log likelihood = -653.05643
```

```
Iteration 6: log likelihood = -653.03835
```

```
Iteration 7: log likelihood = -653.03759
```

```
Iteration 8: log likelihood = -653.03758
```

ARIMA regression

sample: 1947q2 - 2009q4

Number of obs = 251

wald chi2(2) = 74.17

Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Log likelihood = -653.0376

consumption	Coef.	OPG Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
consumption _cons	3.475522	.2940881	11.82	0.000	2.89912	4.051925
ARMA						
ma						
L1.	.0241409	.0409067	0.59	0.555	-.0560349	.1043166
L2.	.3618992	.045273	7.99	0.000	.2731657	.4506327
/sigma	3.261838	.0830438	39.28	0.000	3.099075	3.424601