Sociology of Aging and the Life Course

Professor: Michal Engelman
Lectures: M 1:20-3:50pm, 6112 Social Sciences
Contact: mengelman@wisc.edu
Office: 4432 Sewell Social Science Building
Office Hours: Thursdays, 11am-1pm and by appointment

*Age is not a particularly interesting subject. Anyone can get old. All you have to do is live long enough.*

– Groucho Marx

Living long enough to grow old is only unremarkable in societies with a remarkable standard of living. For individuals, old age represents triumph over illnesses, injuries, and a myriad challenges to survival; for populations, aging is an aggregate mark of human success in reducing fertility, improving living conditions, and curbing risks of death through innovations in public health and medicine. Groucho Marx’s proclamation that longevity is unexceptional in fact underscores the fact that aging – now increasingly common in both high- and low-income countries – is in fact a remarkable achievement. The wisecrack also points to a more intricate idea: that reaching old age and aging well are a function of well-being throughout the life course.

In contrast to Marx, I am convinced that age is a particularly interesting, multi-faceted, and compelling subject, with deep implications for individuals and populations. If you’ve read this far, perhaps you already agree. Either way, this course aims to give students an appreciation of the interdisciplinary study of aging across the life course, and guide them in developing an understanding of how aging shapes the lives of individuals, families, and society more broadly.

The course will begin by familiarizing students with the factors that have rendered population aging one of the most dramatic and significant demographic features of the United States and other high-longevity societies, both rich and poor. We’ll also introduce the life course perspective for the study of human development and aging. We’ll discuss connections between individual biographies and the wider historical and socioeconomic contexts in which they unfold and highlight linkages between early-life experiences and later-life well-being.

Because the persistence or diminishment of health is central to the experience of aging, we will emphasize the distribution of health and illness among older adults, discussing the impacts of individual behaviors and health services but primarily examining the social determinants of health in later life and the consequences of population aging for societies.
Required Readings and Workload

Readings will include theoretical, empirical, and policy-oriented research. There is one required book for this class:


All other required readings will be available in PDF format via the course website. I reserve the right to make changes to this schedule if needed. Any changes will be announced in class, via the course email list, and posted on the course website.

This course assumes UW’s standard 3:1 rule, meaning that for every course credit hour you spend inside the classroom (namely, 2.5 hours each week) you should expect to spend an average of three hours working on course requirements outside of class (so: 7.5 hours per week). In sum, 10 hours a week average workload. Note this is an average; some weeks you may spend more time, and some weeks less.

You should expect to read approximately 75 pages per week on average; some weeks will be lighter, and some heavier. (This doesn’t include the reading you’ll need to undertake for your research paper.) **Doing all of the readings, as listed below, is absolutely necessary for success in this course.** More importantly (to me, at least): you won’t get much out of this course if you don’t give yourself enough time to get and stay on top of the readings.

Evaluation

Your grade in this course will be based on the following components:

1. Class preparation and participation, tracked through a series of weekly reading response posts, comments on others’ posts, and attendance (160 points).

   (a) To help us all engage each other, I ask you to post an entry of approximately 300 words to a discussion thread on the course website. These entries should be made before each class on weeks 2 through 11 (with the exception of week 4, when class will not meet). Your posts are due by **8pm on Sunday** night before class.

   (b) You’re also required to comment on the posts of your classmates, as often as you like, but at least once during each week (by **8pm on Wednesday**). Brief comments (of 150 words) will suffice, though you’re always welcome to write more, if you’re so inclined.

   (c) The lowest score among your posts will be dropped, so you’ll end up with 8 graded posts, at 20 points each. This means that you can take one week off, at your discretion, during the semester. Entries posted after 8pm on Sunday will not be graded (consider that your “off” week).

   (d) The posts do not have to be polished critiques of the readings. That said, they shouldn’t just be free-associating riffs on the article titles or abstracts. Good posts will be grounded in at least one text, meaning that they’ll include references to page numbers or passages
that you want to discuss. Really good posts will draw connections among texts throughout the course, relate the readings to current events or controversies, take issue with points you disagree with or find to be unclear, or expand on an interesting point. You may include links to multimedia resources or web pages that connect to the weeks readings. Either an informal, reading journal-style tone or a more formal tone is fine, but your writing should be proofread and clear.

(e) I won’t be able to provide feedback (beyond the score) on each of your posts. That said, I’ll bring up at least a few of them in each session, and provide written comments on at least some of your posts. If you have any questions about your posts at any time, please do email me about them.

(f) In order to get full credit for participation, you must attend lectures and be fully engaged in class activities. You will fill out a notecard with a brief response to each class session—these will not be graded, but they will be read and, when appropriate, responded to in future sessions. You may miss 1 class session without penalty. If over the course of the term you’re serially (at least 2 or 3 times) absent or showing a lack of preparation, and you haven’t provided advance notice of the reason(s), we’ll have to talk, and you’ll then have 10 points deducted from your preparation and participation grade for each additional unexcused absence and/or class in which you’re unable to participate due to demonstrated lack of preparation.

2. Debates (160 points). Students will be asked to engage with issues that are at the center of current public policy controversies by working in teams to conduct 8 informed in-class debates (20 points each) over the course of the semester. See more details below.

3. Midterm exam (200 points). The exam, on November 28th, will consist of brief term identification questions and short essays covering concepts discussed in class and in the readings.

4. Research paper (340 points). Your research paper grade will include three components: (i) Paper topic and initial bibliography (30 points, due October 19th); (ii) Detailed outline and thesis statement (50 points, due November 9); and (iii) Complete research paper (260 points, due by Friday, December 19, 5:00 pm). See more details below.

5. Presentation (140 points). You will present the topic and findings of your research paper to the class. Additional guidelines will be forthcoming.

The total points possible for the course is 1000.

Grade Distribution

This course isn’t graded on a curve, which means that you’ll earn the grade you receive based on your scores on individual assignments. You’ll be able to assess your progress in the course at any time during the semester. Grades will be assigned in accordance with the UW undergraduate grade policy, using the following distribution:

Grades will be assigned in accordance with the UW undergraduate grade policy, using the following distribution:
A: $\geq$ 930 points
AB: 870-929 points
B: 830-869 points
BC: 770-829 points
C: 700-769 points
D: 600-699 points
F: 599 points or less.

Debates

Between week 2 and week 11 (with the exception of week 3, when we will not meet), we’ll conduct a debate during the second half of each class. The topic of each week’s debate is listed in the detailed schedule below, and you will have a chance to sign up for a “side” on 8 debates of your choice during the first week of class. (There are 9 debates in total, so you can chose to sit one out, or have your lowest score dropped).

The readings are meant to inform both sides of the debate, but you’re welcome and encouraged to do additional research on your own – both for the purpose of bolstering your side’s argument (especially if the readings seem tilted in another direction), and for clarifying your own true position on the issue. Please come to lecture prepared with notes on 3 arguments in favor of your position, as well as evidence that supports these arguments.

In class, you will have 15 minutes to discuss your arguments with other students on your side of the debate and together, plan and deliver a 10-minute presentation summarizing the most convincing arguments and evidence for your position. You will listen to a similar presentation in favor of the opposite view, and have 5-10 minutes to confer with your group and formulate a rebuttal. At the end, we will have a group discussion about the relative merits of these arguments.

Each debate will count for 20 points towards your grade (for a total of 160). Satisfactory participation in each debate entails coming prepared with notes covering arguments and evidence, contributing your ideas to the group’s debate and rebuttal strategies, and delivering initial arguments or rebuttals to the class.

Research paper

Students are expected to identify a topic of interest related to aging and write a research paper reviewing the academic literature on this topic. Successful papers will be structured around a research question (examples will be provided and discussed in class) and will cite at least seven articles (that we have not discussed in class) from peer-reviewed scientific journals.

On October 19th, students will submit their proposed paper topic (ideally phrased as a research question) along with a bibliography of 5-7 relevant articles that address that research question. Then, on November 9th, you will submit a detailed outline that includes a thesis statement (ideally: a carefully considered answer to the research question you posed) and a summary of
the key arguments and data points that support the thesis. You will receive feedback on these assignments and will be expected to incorporate that feedback as you write your paper. Late assignments will lose one letter grade for each day that they are late.

You final papers must be 6-8 pages in double spaced, in 12pt Times New Roman font. The final papers are due on December 19th via the course dropbox on Learn@UW.

Accommodations

Please send me an email by the end of the second week of the course if you are eligible for special arrangements or accommodations for testing, assignments, or other aspects of the course. Accommodations are provided for students who qualify for disability services through the McBurney Center. Their website has detailed instructions about how to qualify: http://www.mcburney.wisc.edu/. Provide a copy of your accommodations request (VISA) to the instructor by the end of the second week of class. We try to reserve rooms and proctors by the third week in class, so we must know of all accommodations by then.

If you wish to request a scheduling accommodation for religious observances, please send an email by the end of the second week of the course stating the specific date(s) for which you request accommodation; campus policy requires that religious observances be accommodated if you make a timely request early in the term. See https://kb.wisc.edu/page.php?id=21698 for details.

Academic Honesty

In your written assignments, you are expected to exercise academic honesty and integrity and to produce original work. If you must use the exact words used in another source, use quotation marks to indicate that those words are not your own and provide full credit to the source. If you are using an idea you obtained from someone else, cite the author(s), even if you did not quote her/him/them directly. The set of ideas you must cite includes those obtained from Wikipedia or any internet source. According to UWS 14, academic misconduct occurs when a student:

- seeks to claim credit for the work or efforts of another without authorization or citation;
- uses unauthorized materials or fabricated data in any academic exercise;
- forges or falsifies academic documents or records;
- intentionally impedes or damages the academic work of others;
- engages in conduct aimed at making false representation of [...] academic performance;
- assists other students in any of these acts.

The university’s Writing Center has an excellent webpage about how to successfully quote and paraphrase texts: http://writing.wisc.edu/Handbook/QuotingSources.html. See also these guidelines about avoiding plagiarism: http://writing.wisc.edu/Handbook/QPA_plagiarism.html.

The internet makes it very easy to plagiarize (both intentionally and not), but it also makes it easy to identify plagiarized texts. Evidence of academic dishonesty in an assignment will result in an automatic grade of zero for the assignment, and will be reported to the Dean of Students following a meeting with the professor.
Department learning objectives

Beyond the specific substantive and methodological content I will cover in this course, I have designed this course to achieve the following instructional objectives designated as priorities by the Department of Sociology:

- **Critically Evaluate Published Research**: Students will be able to read and evaluate published research as it appears in academic journals and popular or policy publications.

- **Communicate Skillfully**: Students write papers and make oral presentations that build arguments and assess evidence in a clear and effective manner.

- **Critical Thinking about Society and Social Processes**: Students can look beyond the surface of issues to discover the "why" and "how" of social order and structure and consider the underlying social mechanisms that may be creating a situation, identify evidence that may adjudicate between alternate explanations for phenomena, and develop proposed policies or action plans in light of theory and data.

- **See Things from a Global Perspective**: Students learn about different cultures, groups, and societies across both time and place. They are aware of the diversity of backgrounds and experiences among residents of the United States. They understand the ways events and processes in one country are linked to those in other countries.

- **Work effectively in groups**: Students will improve their skills in understanding group dynamics and working well with people from different backgrounds with different strengths and weaknesses.

Departmental notice of grievance and appeal rights

The Department of Sociology regularly conducts student evaluations of all professors and teaching assistants near the end of the semester. Students who have more immediate concerns about this course should report them to the instructor or to the chair, 8128 Social Science (jraymo@wisc.edu).
Course Overview and Organization

For the first 11 weeks of the course, class sessions will consist of a combination of lectures, discussions of the assigned readings and students’ posted responses, and in-class debates. Following the exam in week 12, class sessions will be devoted to student research presentations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>September 12</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>September 19</td>
<td>Aging individuals and populations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>September 26</td>
<td>Aging and health</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>October 3</td>
<td>No class</td>
<td>Rosh Hashana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>October 10</td>
<td>Early life influences on later life outcomes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>October 17</td>
<td>Aging in the US &amp; globally</td>
<td>Paper topic due 10/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>October 24</td>
<td>Later-life health disparities: Race &amp; SES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>October 31</td>
<td>Gender across the life course</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>November 7</td>
<td>Work and retirement</td>
<td>Outline due 11/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>November 14</td>
<td>Aging and the family</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>November 21</td>
<td>The end of life and the future of aging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>November 28</td>
<td>Exam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>December 5</td>
<td>Student research presentations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>December 12</td>
<td>Student research presentations</td>
<td>Paper due 12/9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Detailed Schedule

Tue. Sept 6: Introductions

After an overview of the course’s logistics and themes, we’ll watch and discuss the movie *Nine to Ninety*, directed by Alicia Dwyer and produced by Juli Vizza.

September 19: Aging Individuals and Aging Populations

*Debate: Has modern life made the life course more standardized, or less?*


September 26: Aging and Health

Debate: Should we ration health care for older people?


Moody & Sasser, Controversy 4, pp.167-200.

October 3: No Class *(Rosh Hashana)*

October 10: What Determines Later-Life Outcomes?

Debate: What matters more for health in later life: early or midlife factors?


October 17: Aging in the United States and Globally

Debate: Should scarce resources be invested in younger or older people?


Moody & Sasser, Controversy 8, pp.335-374.


October 24: Health Disparities: Race and Socioeconomic Status

Debate: Are racial disparities in health mainly a reflection of socioeconomic inequality?


October 31: Gender Across the Life Course

Debate: Who is more vulnerable, women or men?


November 7: Work and Retirement Across the Life Course

Debate: Should the retirement age be raised?


Moody & Sasser , Controversy 9 and 10, pp.375-454.
November 14: Family and intergenerational relations

Debate: Should families be primarily responsible for eldercare?


Moody & Sasser, Controversy 5, pp.201-238.

November 21: The End of Life and Future of Aging

Debate: Should people have the choice to end their lives?


November 28: Exam

Dec 5 & Dec 12: Student research presentations

The final paper is due via course dropbox on December 19th.