

Inequality and growth: What does the transition economy data say?

Grigor Sukiassyan^{a,b,*}

^a *California State University, Fullerton, USA*

^b *University of Southern California, USA*

Received 14 December 2004; revised 2 November 2006

Available online 15 February 2007

Sukiassyan, Grigor—Inequality and growth: What does the transition economy data say?

Despite an extensive literature on inequality and growth, there remains considerable disagreement on the effect of inequality on subsequent growth. This paper attempts to empirically evaluate that relationship with data from the transition economies of Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States. One attractive feature of this group of countries is that their starting points were remarkably similar. Yet, they subsequently have experienced substantial divergence in growth rates and income inequality. Hence, this data set offers considerable advantages for investigating the inequality and growth relationship. Since the existing literature has virtually ignored transition economies, the paper fills an important gap on the theme. Our estimations indicate that the effect of inequality on growth is negative, strong, and rather robust. *Journal of Comparative Economics* 35 (1) (2007) 35–56. California State University, Fullerton, USA; University of Southern California, USA.

© 2006 Association for Comparative Economic Studies. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

7. Concluding remarks

In this paper we have reexamined various dimensions of the growth-inequality debate in the specific context of countries undergoing transition from centrally planned to market systems. While at the beginning of the transition these countries shared many similar characteristics and specifically, had low levels of income inequality, over time they diverged considerably. Hence, the transition economies provide a potentially rich experience for examining the relation between income inequality and growth.

We apply the several different specifications and estimation methods that had been employed in the literature on inequality and growth in arriving at very different conclusions even with the same data sets. In contrast to the conflicting results obtained in the earlier studies, that had included at most only three transition economies, our empirical findings for transition countries indicate a strong, negative contemporaneous growth-inequality relationship for all these specifications, estimation methods, and different inequality data sets in the short to medium run.





Fig. 3. Cross-country scatter plot of inequality, Gini coefficient, and growth by transitional phase. Panel (a) 1988–1992, initial phase; Panel (b), 1993–1997, stabilization; and Panel (c), 1998–2002, recovery phase of transition.

